

# Introducing bisemisttructures

C. PIERRE

Institut de Mathématique pure et appliquée  
Université de Louvain  
Chemin du Cyclotron, 2  
B-1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium  
pierre@math.ucl.ac.be

## Abstract

New fundamental mathematical structures are introduced by the triples (left semistructure, right semistructure, bisemistructure) associated with the classical mathematical structures and such that the bisemisttructures, resulting from the reciprocal actions of left semistructures on right semistructures, are composed of bielements which are either diagonal bielements or cross bielements.

## Introduction

The existence of mathematical symmetric semiobjects (or semistructures), being for example semigroups, semirings or semimodules, is directly related to the generation of two symmetric left and right  $n$ -dimensional affine semispaces  $W_L$  and  $W_R$ , localized respectively in the upper and lower half spaces, from a polynomial ring  $A = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  over a number field  $k$  of characteristic 0.

It is then shown in chapter 1 that **a mathematical structure can be split into two symmetric (disjoint) left and right semistructures referring (or localized in) respectively to the upper and lower half spaces.**

But, a left and a right semistructure interact throughout the existence of a bisemistructure, which leads to consider **the triple (left semistructure, right semistructure, bisemistructure)**, associated with a given mathematical structure and verifying the following lemma:

**Lemma: the right and left symmetric semistructures of a given structure operate on each other by means of their product giving rise to a bisemistructure in such a way that its bielements be either diagonal bielements or cross bielements.**

Remark that a diagonal bielement can be defined with respect to a diagonal bilinear basis while an off-diagonal bielement can be developed according to an off-diagonal bilinear basis.

**This concept of bi(semi)structure appears clearly in the endomorphism of a central simple algebra  $B$  by its realization throughout the enveloping algebra  $B^e = B \otimes B^{\text{op}}$ , defined from the tensor product of this algebra  $B$  by its opposite algebra  $B^{\text{op}}$ .**

It is also the case in the Lefschetz trace formula referring to the endomorphism of a complex of hypercohomology  $R\Gamma(X, L)$ ; where  $L$  is a complex of sheaves on  $X$ , which can be calculated according to classes of cohomology on  $X \times X$  [G-I].

In this perspective, it is perhaps not innocent to remark that **a matrix is basically a bilinear object** and that the representation space of an algebraic group of matrices  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$  is a vectorial space of dimension  $n^2$  [B-T], [D-G], [Bou].

**Fundamental semistructures and bisemistructures, then introduced and defined in chapter 2, are:**

- left and right semigroups and bilinear semigroups.
- left and right monoids and bimonoids.
- left and right semirings and bisemirings.
- left and right semifields and bisemifields.

- left and right semimodules and bisemimodules.
- inner product bisemispace, among which bilinear Hilbert semispaces.
- left and right semialgebras and bisemialgebras.

The fundamental triple is  $(G_L, G_R, G_{R \times L})$  where:

- $G_L$  (resp.  $G_R$ ) is a left (resp. right) semigroup under the addition of its left (resp. right) elements  $g_{L_i}$  (resp.  $g_{R_i}$ ) restricted to (or referring to) the upper (resp. lower) half space.
- $G_{R \times L}$  is a bisemigroup, or a bilinear semigroup, such that its bielements  $(g_{R_i} \times g_{L_i})$  be submitted to the cross binary operation  $\underline{\times}$  according to:

$$\begin{aligned} G_{R \times L} \underline{\times} G_{R \times L} &\longrightarrow G_{R \times L} \\ (g_{R_i} \times g_{L_i}) \underline{\times} (g_{R_j} \times g_{L_j}) &\longrightarrow (g_{R_i} + g_{R_j}) \times (g_{L_i} + g_{L_j}) \end{aligned}$$

leading to cross products  $(g_{R_i} \times g_{L_j})$  and  $(g_{R_j} \times g_{L_i})$ .

Thus, the cross binary operation  $\underline{\times}$ , responsible for the bilinear character of  $G_{R \times L}$ , generalizes the concept of (semi)group  $G_{R \times L}$  by sending pairs of right and left elements either in diagonal bielements  $(g_{R_i} \times g_{L_i})$  and  $(g_{R_j} \times g_{L_j})$  or in cross bielements  $(g_{R_i} \times g_{L_j})$  and  $(g_{R_j} \times g_{L_i})$ . The bilinear semigroup  $G_{R \times L} = G_R \times G_L$  is thus a generalization of the direct product  $G_R \times G_L$  of the (semi)groups  $G_R$  and  $G_L$  since, in this case, only diagonal bielements are generated.

Another important triple is  $(M_L, M_R, M_{R \times L})$  where:

- $M_L$  (resp.  $M_R$ ) is a left (resp. right)  $R_L$ -semimodule  $M_L$  (resp.  $R_R$ -semimodule  $M_R$ ) over the left (resp. right) semiring  $R_L$  (resp.  $R_R$ ).
- $M_{R \times L} = M_R \times M_L \simeq M_R \otimes_{R_R \times R_L} M_L$  is a  $R_R \times R_L$ -bisemimodule over the bisemiring  $R_{R \times L} = R_R \times R_L$ , i.e. an additive abelian bisemigroup.

The  $R_{R \times L}$ -bisemimodule  $M_R \otimes_{R_R \times R_L} M_L$  splits naturally into:

$$M_R \otimes_{R_{R \times L}} M_L = (M_R \otimes_D M_L) + (M_R \otimes_{OD} M_L)$$

where:

- $(M_R \otimes_D M_L)$  is a diagonal  $R_{R \times L}$ -bisemimodule of which bielements are expressed in a diagonal bilinear basis  $\{e_\alpha \otimes f_\alpha\}_\alpha$ ,  $e_\alpha \in M_R$ ,  $f_\alpha \in M_L$ .
- $(M_R \otimes_{OD} M_L)$  is an off-diagonal  $R_{R \times L}$ -bisemimodule over  $R_{R \times L}$  of which bielements are expressed in an off-diagonal bilinear basis  $\{e_\alpha \otimes f_\beta\}_{\alpha \neq \beta}$ .

This leads us to introduce **mixed product  $R_R \times R_L$ -bisemispace**  $M_R \otimes M_L$  endowed with bilinear functions  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  from  $M_R \times M_L$  into  $R_R \times R_L$ : they can be extended, diagonal or off-diagonal mixed product bisemispace  $M_R \otimes M_L$ ,  $M_R \otimes_D M_L$  or  $M_R \otimes_{OD} M_L$  according as the considered linear basis is complete, diagonal or off-diagonal.

These mixed product bisemispace become **external left (resp. right) product bisemispace** by the projection of the  $R_R$ -semispace  $M_R$  (resp.  $R_L$ -semispace  $M_L$ ) onto the  $R_L$ -semispace  $M_L$  (resp.  $R_R$ -semispace  $M_R$ ). And, if every covariant (resp. contravariant) element of the projected  $M_R$  (resp.  $M_L$ ) onto  $M_L$  (resp.  $M_R$ ) is mapped onto the corresponding contravariant (resp. covariant) element, then these external left (resp. right) product bisemispace **are transformed into corresponding left (resp. right) inner product bisemispace**. It is then shown that the left (resp. right) diagonal inner product bisemisphere is a left (resp. right) separable bilinear Hilbert semispace being in one-to-one correspondence with the classical separable linear Hilbert (semi)space.

The last proposed fundamental triple is  $(A_L, A_R, A_R \otimes A_L)$  where:

- $A_L$  (resp.  $A_R$ ) is a left (resp. right)  $R_L$ -semialgebra (resp.  $R_R$ -semialgebra) over the left (resp. right) (division) semiring  $R_L$  (resp.  $R_R$ ).
- $A_R \otimes A_L$  is a  **$R_R \times R_L$ -bisemialgebra** which is a bisemiring  $A_{R \times L}$  such that the pair  $(A_{R \times L}, +)$  is an unitary  $R_{R \times L}$ -bisemimodule equipped with the bilinear homomorphism

$$\mu_{R,L} : A_{R \times L} \underline{\times} A_{R \times L} \longrightarrow A_{R \times L}$$

where  $\underline{\times}$  is the cross binary operation allowing to generate off-diagonal bielements.

This enables to introduce a **bisemialgebra of Hopf** given by the triple  $((A_{R(P)} \otimes A_L), (A_{L(P)} \otimes A_R), S_b)$  where:

- $(A_{R(P)} \otimes A_L)$  (resp.  $(A_{L(P)} \otimes A_R)$ ) is a left (resp. right) bisemialgebra in such a way that  $A_{R(P)}$  (resp.  $A_{L(P)}$ ) is the right (resp. left) semialgebra  $A_R$  (resp.  $A_L$ ) projected onto  $A_L$  (resp.  $A_R$ ).
- $S_b : A_{R(P)} \otimes A_L \rightarrow A_{L(P)} \otimes A_R$  is the antipode mapping bijectively the left bisemialgebra  $A_{R(P)} \otimes A_L$  onto the right bisemialgebra  $A_{L(P)} \otimes A_R$ .

Working with bisemialgebras thus allows to define very clearly the antipode  $S_b$  and its inverse  $S_b^{-1}$ .

Chapter 3 is devoted to the **study of concrete bisemistruures**, under the circumstances **bilinear algebraic semigroups and bisemischemes**.

**In conclusion**, I would like to emphasize that **bisemistruures are richer than the corresponding classical structures by the existence of cross bisemisubstructures**.

On the other hand, **bielements arise naturally**. For example, consider the conjugation action of a subgroup  $H$  on a group  $G$  given by  $(h, x) \rightarrow h x h^{-1}$ ,  $\forall h \in H, x \in G$ . In the case of bisemisttructures, we would have to consider the conjugation biaction of a subbisemigroup  $H_R \times H_L$  on the bisemigroup  $G_R \times G_L$  given by  $(h_R \times h_L, x_R \times x_L) \rightarrow h_L x_L x_R h_R$ , where  $h_R = h_L^{-1}$ ,  $x_L \in g_L$ ,  $x_R \in g_R$ ,  $h_L \in H_L$ ,  $h_R \in H_R$ .

In this philosophy of bisemisttructures, the **left semisttructure acts on the corresponding right semisttructure** and vice versa **giving a better visibility to their endomorphisms** and to some bisemisttructures involving internal structural endomorphisms, as for example the antipode map in the (bisemi)algebra of Hopf.

Finally, in this context, the metric, the scalar product, the norm, the invariant bilinear forms of Lie groups [Sch3],... get a new ontological meaning: this is tied up to the fact that, **in a given (bisemi)structure, only the left semisttructure is generally observable in such a way that the (tensor) product of this left semisttructure by the opposite right (dual) hidden semisttructure constitutes the only mathematical manageable (bisemi)structure corresponding to the objectivable reality** [Pie2].

# 1 Origin of semistructures and bisemistructures

## 1.1 Origin of semiobjects

The existence of mathematical symmetric semiobjects (or semistructures) results essentially from classical algebraic geometry.

Let  $A = k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$  be a polynomial ring at  $n$  indeterminates over a global number field  $k$  of characteristic 0. A solution of the system of polynomial equations  $P_\mu(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$  of the ideal  $I_L$  of  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is an  $n$ -tuple  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ .

But the existence of the ideal  $I_R$  of  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , composed of polynomial equations  $P_\mu(-x_1, \dots, -x_n) = 0$ , provides solutions which are negative  $n$ -tuples  $(-a_1, \dots, -a_n)$ . Thus, the set of zeros of  $I_L \cup I_R = \{P_\mu(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \cup P_\mu(-x_1, \dots, -x_n) \mid P_\mu(W) = 0\}$  is an affine  $n$ -dimensional space  $W$  which can be split into two symmetric semispaces  $W_L$  and  $W_R$  in such a way that:

- $W = W_L \cup W_R$ ;  $W_L \cap W_R = \emptyset$ .
- $W_L$  is an affine  $n$ -dimensional semispace restricted to the upper half space.
- $W_R$  is the affine  $n$ -dimensional semispace, symmetric to  $W_L$ , restricted to the lower half space and disjoint of  $W_L$ .

So, the consideration of a general polynomial ring  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  allows to generate the union  $W_R \cup W_L$  of two symmetric right and left  $n$ -dimensional affine semispaces  $W_R$  and  $W_L$ .

## 1.2 On the necessity of considering bisemiobjects

On the other hand, in the case of number fields, the “total” (closed) algebraic extension of the number field  $k$  will be the union  $\tilde{F} = \tilde{F}_R \cup \tilde{F}_L$  of two symmetric (closed) algebraic extensions  $\tilde{F}_R$  and  $\tilde{F}_L$  referring, for example, respectively to the sets of negative and positive roots of the polynomial ring  $k[x]$ .

So, taking into account that:

1. a left  $T_n(\tilde{F}_L)$ -semimodule  $T^{(n)}(\tilde{F}_L)$  (resp. right  $T_n^t(\tilde{F}_R)$ -semimodule  $T^{(n)}(\tilde{F}_R)$ ) is generated under the left (resp. right) action of the upper (resp. lower) triangular group of matrices  $T_n(\tilde{F}_L)$  (resp.  $T_n^t(\tilde{F}_R)$ ) according to [Pie1]:

$$\begin{aligned} T_n(\cdot) : \quad \tilde{F}_L &\longrightarrow T^{(n)}(\tilde{F}_L), & \text{also noted } V_L \\ \text{(resp. } T_n^t(\cdot) : \quad \tilde{F}_R &\longrightarrow T^{(n)}(\tilde{F}_R), & \text{also noted } V_R \text{).} \end{aligned}$$

2. the (bilinear) algebraic (semi)group of matrices:

$$\text{GL}_n(\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_L) = T_n^t(\tilde{F}_R) \times T_n(\tilde{F}_L),$$

written in function of the Gauss bilinear decomposition, **generates the affine bisemispaces**  $T^{(n)}(\tilde{F}_R) \otimes_{\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_L} T^{(n)}(\tilde{F}_L) \equiv V_R \otimes_{\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_L} V_L$  (as it will be developed subsequently),

it appears clearly that a bisemioobject (or a bisemistructure), resulting from the (tensor) product of a left semiobject (or semistructure) by its symmetric right equivalent, must also be envisaged: this leads us to consider triples:  $(V_R, V_L, V_R \otimes_{\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_L} V_L)$  and, more generally, **triples of structures** (right semistructure, left semistructure, bisemistructure) because right and left symmetric semistructures “interact” by means of bisemistructures, as stated in the following lemma:

**Lemma:** *the right and left symmetric semistructures of a given structure operate always on each other by means of their product giving rise to a bisemistructure in such a way that its bielements be either diagonal bielements or cross bielements.*

**Proof :** Let us only say that a bisemistructure is basically a bilinear semigroup  $G_{R \times L}$  (as it will be developed subsequently), such that:

- a) the right and left symmetric semistructures are semigroups  $G_R$  and  $G_L$  under the addition of their respective elements.
- b) the bielements of this bilinear semigroup split into diagonal bielements or cross bielements according to the cross binary operation  $\underline{\times}$  of  $G_{R \times L}$  (see definition 2.1.2). ■

Let us remark that the consideration of triple of structures reminds **the construction of pure motives** on algebraic varieties embodied in their groups of classes of cycles [Gro]. Indeed, a pure (Chow) motive is essentially a pair  $(X, p)$  where  $X$  is a  $n$ -dimensional smooth projective variety and  $p \in \text{corr}^0(X, X) = \text{CH}^{2n}(X \times X) \simeq \frac{Z^i(X \times X)}{Z_{\text{rat}}^i(X \times X)}$  is the projector of the Chow ring  $\text{CH}^{2n}(X \times X)$  of the group  $Z^i(X \times X)$  of algebraic (bi)cycles on  $(X \times X)$  by the subgroup  $Z_{\text{rat}}^i(X \times X)$  rationally equivalent to 0 [Mur].

**Most of bisemioobjects, which are (bilinear) products of semiobjects, proceed generally from the bilinear structure of matrices.** In this respect, every endomorphism of a central simple algebra  $B$  can only be handled throughout his enveloping algebra  $B^e = B \otimes_{\tilde{F}} B^{\text{op}}$ , where  $B^{\text{op}}$  denotes the opposite algebra of  $B$ ; indeed, in that case, we have that:

$$B^e \approx \text{End}_{\tilde{F}}(B) \approx \text{End}_{\tilde{F}}(\tilde{F}^n) \approx \mathcal{M}_n(\tilde{F}) \quad (*)$$

where  $\mathcal{M}_n(\tilde{F})$ , being the set of  $(n \times n)$  matrices over the field  $\tilde{F}$ , is a central simple algebra over  $\tilde{F}$  [F-D].

If an object is then envisaged as a bisemioobject, it becomes clear from the preceding reflection on endomorphism that **every endomorphism of a (semi)object**, describing the variations of the

internal algebraic (and/or geometric) structure of this one, **can only be realized throughout the (tensor) product of this semiobject with its (“opposite”) symmetric equivalent.**

Indeed, the isomorphisms  $(*)$ , transposed in the case of a central simple semialgebra  $A$  over  $\tilde{F}_L$  (which will be defined in a next section) associated with left semiobjects, become:

$$A^e = A \otimes_{\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_L} A^{\text{op}} \simeq \text{End}_{\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_L}(A \times A^{\text{op}}) \simeq \text{End}_{\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_L}((\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_L)^n) \simeq \text{GL}_n(\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_L)$$

with the evident notations.

### 1.3 Definitions: algebraic bisubsets and bipoints

Assume that the two symmetric semistructures are the right and left  $n$ -dimensional affine semispaces  $V_R$  and  $V_L$ .

- **A simple left (resp. right) subset of  $V_L$  (resp.  $V_R$ ) is:**

1. **a one-dimensional irreducible closed algebraic subset  $\tilde{F}_{v_j^1}^+$  (resp.  $\tilde{F}_{\bar{v}_j^1}^+$ )** (see notations of [Pie1]) characterized by the Galois extension degree:

$$[\tilde{F}_{v_j^1}^+ : k] = [\tilde{F}_{\bar{v}_j^1}^+ : k] = N$$

which is an algebraic dimension.

2. a simple (irreducible) left (resp. right)  $k$ -semimodule, interpreted in [Pie2] as a left (resp. right) algebraic quantum.

- **A simple bisubset of  $V_R \otimes_{\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_L} V_L$  is an irreducible closed algebraic bisubset  $(\tilde{F}_{v_j^1}^+ \times_D \tilde{F}_{\bar{v}_j^1}^+)$  characterized by the Galois extension bidegree (which is an algebraic dimension):**

$$[\tilde{F}_{v_j^1}^+ : k] \times_D [\tilde{F}_{\bar{v}_j^1}^+ : k] = N$$

referring to a “diagonal” product  $(\times_D)$  where the off-diagonal components are not taken into account.

- An element of an irreducible closed algebraic left (resp. right) subset  $\tilde{F}_{v_j^1}^+ \in \tilde{F}_L$  (resp.  $\tilde{F}_{\bar{v}_j^1}^+ \in \tilde{F}_R$ ) is **an algebraic left (resp. right) point**  $(0, \dots, a_k, \dots, 0)$  (resp.  $(0, \dots, -a_k, \dots, 0)$ ) or a component of a left (resp. right) point of  $V_L$  (resp.  $V_R$ ).
- A diagonal bielement of an irreducible closed algebraic bisubset  $(\tilde{F}_{v_j^1}^+ \times_D \tilde{F}_{\bar{v}_j^1}^+)$  is **an algebraic diagonal bipoint**  $(0, \dots, (-a_k) \times_D (a_k), \dots, 0)$  or a component of a diagonal bipoint of  $V_R \otimes V_L$ .

- An off-diagonal bielement of an off-diagonal closed algebraic bisubset  $(\tilde{F}_{\bar{v}_j}^+ \times_{OD} \tilde{F}_{v_j}^+)$  is **an algebraic off-diagonal bipoint**  $(0, \dots, (-b_k) \times_{OD} (c_k), \dots, 0)$  characterized by a nonzero bilinear “off-diagonal” component (from which the notation “ $\times_{OD}$ ” refers to an off-diagonal product).

Remark that

$$(\tilde{F}_{\bar{v}_j}^+ \times \tilde{F}_{v_j}^+) = (\tilde{F}_{\bar{v}_j}^+ \times_D \tilde{F}_{v_j}^+) + (\tilde{F}_{\bar{v}_j}^+ \times_{OD} \tilde{F}_{v_j}^+)$$

as it will be shown in the following.

- **A diagonal algebraic bipoint** of the affine bisemispaces  $(V_R \otimes_{\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_L} V_L)$  is given by the  $n$ -bituple  $(-a_1 \times_D a_1, \dots, -a_k \times_D a_k, \dots, -a_n \times_D a_n)$  while a **general algebraic bipoint** of  $(V_R \otimes_{\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_L} V_L)$  is described by the  $n^2$ -bituple

$$\begin{aligned} &(-a_1 \times_D a_1, \dots, -a_1 \times_{OD} a_n, \\ &-a_2 \times_{OD} a_1, \dots, -a_2 \times_{OD} a_n, \\ &\vdots \\ &-a_n \times_{OD} a_1, \dots, -a_n \times_D a_n) . \end{aligned}$$

#### 1.4 Definitions: geometric bipoints

- **A general geometric bipoint** of  $(V_R \otimes_{\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_L} V_L)$  is a **general algebraic bipoint** given by the  $n^2$ -bituple  $(-a_1 \times_D a_1, \dots, -a_i \times_{OD} a_j, \dots, -a_n \times_D a_n)$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , together with the additional geometric  $n^2$ -bituple  $(g_{11}, \dots, g_{ij}, \dots, g_{nn})$  where the  $g_{ij}$  are the components at this bipoint of the metric tensor  $\mathbf{g}$  of type  $(0, 2)$  with respect to a bilinear basis  $\{(e_i \otimes e_j)\}_{i,j}$  in such a way that the  $g_{ij} = g(e_i, e_j)$  are the scalar products of the basis vectors.
- On the other hand, if the right semispaces  $V_R$  is projected onto the left semispaces  $V_L$  generating the bisemispaces  $(V_{R_P} \otimes_{\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_L} V_L)$ , then a **general geometric bipoint** of  $(V_{R_P} \otimes_{\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_L} V_L)$  will be given by:

1) **the algebraic  $n^2$ -bituple:**  $(a_1 \times_D a_1, \dots, a_i \times_{OD} a_j, \dots, a_n \times_D a_n)$  where:

$$\mathbb{P}_{R \rightarrow L}(i) : \quad -a_i \longrightarrow +a_i, \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

is the map projecting the  $i$ -th right coordinate  $-a_i$  of the general algebraic bipoint of  $(V_R \otimes_{\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_L} V_L)$  into the  $i$ -th right coordinate  $+a_i$  of the corresponding algebraic bipoint on  $(V_{R_P} \otimes_{\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_L} V_L)$ .

- 2) **the additional geometric  $n^2$ -bituple**  $(g_1^1, \dots, g_i^j, \dots, g_n^n)$  where the  $g_i^j$  are the components at this bipoint of the metric tensor of type  $(1, 1)$  with respect to the bilinear basis  $\{(e_i \otimes e^j)\}_{i,j}$  in such a way that  $g_i^j = g(e_i, e^j)$ .

**This geometric bipoint of  $(V_{R_P} \otimes_{\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_L} V_L)$  can be identified with a classical geometric point of  $V_L$  which is characterized by:**

- 1) the algebraic  $n$ -tuple  $(a_1, \dots, a_j, \dots, a_n)$ .
  - 2) the additional geometric  $n^2$ -bituple  $(g_{11}, \dots, g_{ij}, \dots, g_{nn})$  of which components are those of the symmetric metric tensor of type  $(0, 2)$ .
- Similarly, if the left semispace  $V_L$  is projected onto  $V_R$  generating the bisemispace  $(V_R \otimes_{\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_{L_P}} V_{L_P})$ , then a general geometric bipoint of  $(V_R \otimes_{\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_{L_P}} V_{L_P})$  will be given by:
    - 1) **the algebraic  $n^2$ -bituple:**  $((-a_1) \times_D (-a_1), \dots, (-a_i) \times_{OD} (-a_j), \dots, (-a_n) \times_D (-a_n))$ .
    - 2) the additional geometric  $n^2$ -bituple  $(g_1^1, \dots, g_j^i, \dots, g_n^n)$  where the  $g_j^i$  are the components of the metric tensor of type  $(1, 1)$  at this bipoint.

## 2 Fundamental semistructures and bisemistructures

Right and left semistructures and the corresponding bisemistructures will now be recalled or introduced. The notation  $L, R$  means “left” or “right”.

### 2.1 The bilinear semigroup $G_{R \times L}$

#### 2.1.1 Definition

A **left (resp. right) semigroup  $G_L$  (resp.  $G_R$ )**, noted in condensed notation  $G_{L,R}$ , is a nonempty set of left (resp. right) elements  $g_{L_i}$  (resp.  $g_{R_i}$ ), localized in (or referring to) the upper (resp. lower) half space, together with a binary operation (addition or multiplication) on  $G_{L,R}$ , i.e. a function  $G_{L,R} \times G_{L,R} \rightarrow G_{L,R}$  (mostly, additive case) or  $G_{L,R} \times G_{L,R} \rightarrow G_{L,R}$  (mostly, multiplicative case) which is associative:

$$\begin{aligned} (g_{L_i} \times g_{L_j}) \times g_{L_k} &= g_{L_i} \times (g_{L_j} \times g_{L_k}), & g_{L_i}, g_{L_j}, g_{L_k} \in G_L \\ \text{(resp. } (g_{R_i} \times g_{R_j}) \times g_{R_k} &= g_{R_i} \times (g_{R_j} \times g_{R_k}), & g_{R_i}, g_{R_j}, g_{R_k} \in G_R \text{)}. \end{aligned}$$

#### 2.1.2 Definition

The **bilinear semigroup  $G_{R \times L}$** , also called bisemigroup, **referring to the semigroups  $G_R$  and  $G_L$** , is defined by the bilinear function

$$\begin{aligned} G_R \times G_L &\longrightarrow G_{R \times L} \\ (g_{R_i}, g_{L_i}) &\longrightarrow (g_{R_i} \times g_{L_i}) \end{aligned}$$

$\alpha$ ) sending pairs  $(g_{R_i}, g_{L_i})$  of symmetric elements, localized in (or referring to) the lower and upper half spaces, to their products  $(g_{R_i} \times g_{L_i})$ .

$\beta$ ) **submitted to the cross binary operation “ $\underline{\times}$ ”**:

$$\begin{aligned} G_{R \times L} \underline{\times} G_{R \times L} &\rightarrow G_{R \times L} \text{ defined by: } (g_{R_i} \times g_{L_i}) \underline{\times} (g_{R_j} \times g_{L_j}) \\ &\longrightarrow (g_{R_i} + g_{R_j}) \times (g_{L_i} + g_{L_j}) \end{aligned}$$

**in such a way that cross products  $(g_{R_i} \times g_{L_j})$  and  $(g_{R_j} \times g_{L_i})$ , resulting from the bilinearity of  $G_{R \times L}$ , could be generated.**

Indeed, the development of  $(g_{R_i} + g_{R_j}) \times (g_{L_i} + g_{L_j})$  gives

$$(g_{R_i} + g_{R_j}) \times (g_{L_i} + g_{L_j}) = (g_{R_i} \times g_{L_i}) + (g_{R_j} \times g_{L_j}) + (g_{R_i} \times g_{L_j}) + (g_{R_j} \times g_{L_i})$$

where  $(g_{R_i} \times g_{L_i})$  and  $(g_{R_j} \times g_{L_j})$  are “diagonal” bielements.

But, as the elements  $g_{R_i}$ ,  $g_{L_i}$ ,  $g_{R_j}$  and  $g_{L_j}$  are simple (i.e. non composite), they can only appear once in the cross binary operation  $(g_{R_i} \times g_{L_i}) \underline{\times} (g_{R_j} \times g_{L_j})$ .

So, a **left or right element in  $(g_{R_i} + g_{R_j}) \times (g_{L_i} + g_{L_j})$  appears:**

- a) **either in** the diagonal products  $(g_{R_i} \times g_{L_i})$  and  $(g_{R_j} \times g_{L_j})$  of right and left elements, i.e. in **“diagonal” bielements**.
- b) **or in** the (off-diagonal or) cross products  $(g_{R_i} \times g_{L_j})$  and  $(g_{R_j} \times g_{L_i})$  of right and left elements, i.e. in **“cross” bielements**.

This leads us to formulate the following propositions:

### 2.1.3 Proposition

*The multiplication between bielements is the cross binary operation “ $\underline{\times}$ ”.*

**Proof.** This results from definition 2.1.2. ■

### 2.1.4 Proposition

*The cross binary operation “ $\underline{\times}$ ”, responsible for the bilinear character of the semigroup  $G_{R \times L}$ , generalizes the concept of semigroup  $G_{R \times L}$  by sending pairs of right and left elements either in diagonal bielements or in cross bielements.*

**Proof.** The statement of this proposition results from:

- a) The fact that the cross binary operation allows to generate cross products;
- b) the above mentioned properties of bielements of the semigroup  $G_{R \times L}$ . ■

### 2.1.5 Proposition

*A bilinear semigroup  $G_{R \times L}$  is abelian if its cross binary operation is commutative.*

**Proof.** The cross binary operation is commutative if the bielements commute according to:

$$(g_{R_i} \times g_{L_i}) \underline{\times} (g_{R_j} \times g_{L_j}) = (g_{R_j} \times g_{L_j}) \underline{\times} (g_{R_i} \times g_{L_i}) .$$

Developing the left hand side, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} (g_{R_i} \times g_{L_i}) \underline{\times} (g_{R_j} \times g_{L_j}) &= (g_{R_i} + g_{R_j}) \times (g_{L_i} + g_{L_j}) \\ &= (g_{R_i} \times g_{L_i}) + (g_{R_j} \times g_{L_j}) + (g_{R_j} \times g_{L_i}) + (g_{R_i} \times g_{L_j}) \end{aligned}$$

by taking into account the definition 2.1.2.

On the other hand, the development of the right hand side gives similarly:

$$(g_{R_j} \times g_{L_j}) \underline{\times} (g_{R_i} \times g_{L_i}) = (g_{R_j} \times g_{L_j}) + (g_{R_i} \times g_{L_i}) + (g_{R_j} \times g_{L_i}) + (g_{R_i} \times g_{L_j}) .$$

It then appears that a bilinear semigroup  $G_{R \times L}$  is generally abelian because the abelian character of the cross binary operation, sending diagonal bielements either into themselves or into cross bielements, is reduced to the abelian character of the addition. ■

### 2.1.6 Algebraic general and cross bipoints

Let  $(-a_{i_1}, \dots, -a_{i_k}, \dots, -a_{i_n})$  and  $(-a_{j_1}, \dots, -a_{j_k}, \dots, -a_{j_n})$  be the coordinates of two points  $a_{i_R}$  and  $a_{j_R}$  of the  $n$ -dimensional right affine semivariety  $V_R$  and let  $(+a_{i_1}, \dots, +a_{i_k}, \dots, +a_{i_n})$  and  $(+a_{j_1}, \dots, +a_{j_k}, \dots, +a_{j_n})$  the coordinates of two symmetric points  $a_{i_L}$  and  $a_{j_L}$  in the left affine semivariety  $V_L$ .

Then, the cross binary operation over the affine bisemivariety  $(V_R \otimes_{F^0} V_L)$ , which is fundamentally a bilinear semigroup, is given on the bipoints  $(a_{i_R} \times a_{i_L})$  and  $(a_{j_R} \times a_{j_L})$  by:

$$\begin{aligned} (a_{i_R} \times a_{i_L}) \underline{\times} (a_{j_R} \times a_{j_L}) &= (a_{i_R} + a_{j_R}) \times (a_{i_L} + a_{j_L}) \\ &= (a_{i_R} \times a_{i_L}) + (a_{j_R} \times a_{j_L}) + [(a_{i_R} \times a_{j_L}) + (a_{j_R} \times a_{i_L})] \end{aligned}$$

where:

- $(a_{i_R} \times a_{i_L})$  and  $(a_{j_R} \times a_{j_L})$  are **general algebraic bipoints** in such a way that the coordinates of  $(a_{i_R} \times a_{i_L})$  are the  $n^2$ -bituple  $(-a_{i_1} \times_D a_{i_1}, \dots, -a_{i_1} \times_{OD} a_{i_n}, \dots, -a_{i_n} \times_{OD} a_{i_1}, \dots, -a_{i_n} \times_D a_{i_n})$ ;
- $(a_{i_R} \times a_{j_L})$  and  $(a_{j_R} \times a_{i_L})$  are **algebraic cross bipoints** in such a way that the cross coordinates of  $(a_{i_R} \times a_{j_L})$  are the  $n^2$ -bituple  $(-a_{i_1} \times_D a_{j_1}, \dots, -a_{i_1} \times_{OD} a_{j_n}, \dots, -a_{i_n} \times_{OD} a_{j_1}, \dots, -a_{i_n} \times_D a_{j_n})$ .

## 2.2 Bimonoids, bisemirings and bisemifields

### 2.2.1 Definition

A **left (resp. right) monoid** is a left (resp. right) semigroup  $G_L$  (resp.  $G_R$ ) which contains an identity element  $e_L$  (resp.  $e_R$ ) such that:

$$e_L \cdot g_L = g_L \quad (\text{resp. } g_R \cdot e_R = g_R), \quad \forall g_L \in G_L, g_R \in G_R.$$

Generally,  $e_L = e_R$ .

A **bimonoid** is a bisemigroup in which the identity element  $e_{R \times L} = e_R \times e_L$  verifies:  $e_{R \times L} = e_R = e_L$ .

### 2.2.2 Definition

A **left (resp. right) semiring** is a nonempty set  $R_L$  (resp.  $R_R$ ), also written  $R_{L,R}$ , together with two binary operations (addition and multiplication) such that:

- 1)  $(R_{L,R}, +)$  is an abelian left (resp. right) semigroup (or monoid).
- 2)  $(g_{L_i, R_i} \times g_{L_j, R_j}) \times g_{L_k, R_k} = g_{L_i, R_i} \times (g_{L_j, R_j} \times g_{L_k, R_k})$ : associative multiplication.
- 3) 
$$g_{L_i, R_i} \times (g_{L_j, R_j} + g_{L_k, R_k}) = (g_{L_i, R_i} \times g_{L_j, R_j}) + (g_{L_i, R_i} \times g_{L_k, R_k})$$

and  $(g_{L_i, R_i} + g_{L_j, R_j}) \times g_{L_k, R_k} = (g_{L_i, R_i} \times g_{L_k, R_k}) + (g_{L_j, R_j} \times g_{L_k, R_k})$  :

left and right distribution.

A **bisemiring**  $R_{R \times L}$  is an abelian bisemigroup (or bimonoid) submitted to the two binary operations (addition and multiplication) verifying:

- 1) associative multiplication (or cross binary product):

$$[(g_{R_i} \times g_{L_i}) \cdot (g_{R_j} \times g_{L_j})] \cdot (g_{R_k} \times g_{L_k}) = (g_{R_i} \times g_{L_i}) \cdot [(g_{R_j} \times g_{L_j}) \cdot (g_{R_k} \times g_{L_k})]$$

where the multiplication “ $\cdot$ ” is the cross binary operation  $\underline{\times}$  according to definition 2.1.2.

So, we get:

$$[(g_{R_i} \times g_{L_i}) \underline{\times} (g_{R_j} \times g_{L_j})] \underline{\times} (g_{R_k} \times g_{L_k}) = (g_{R_i} \times g_{L_i}) \underline{\times} [(g_{R_j} \times g_{L_j}) \underline{\times} (g_{R_k} \times g_{L_k})]$$

which gives:

$$[(g_{R_i} + g_{R_j}) + g_{R_k}] \times [(g_{L_i} + g_{L_j}) + g_{L_k}] = [g_{R_i} + (g_{R_j} + g_{R_k})] \times [g_{L_i} + (g_{L_j} + g_{L_k})] .$$

- 2) left and right distribution with respect to cross binary product

$$\begin{aligned} (g_{R_i} \times g_{L_i}) \cdot [(g_{R_j} \times g_{L_j}) + (g_{R_k} \times g_{L_k})] \\ = [(g_{R_i} \times g_{L_i}) \cdot (g_{R_j} \times g_{L_j})] + [(g_{R_i} \times g_{L_i}) \cdot (g_{R_k} \times g_{L_k})] \end{aligned}$$

which gives:

$$\begin{aligned} (g_{R_i} \times g_{L_i}) \underline{\times} [(g_{R_j} \times g_{L_j}) + (g_{R_k} \times g_{L_k})] \\ = [(g_{R_i} \times g_{L_i}) \underline{\times} (g_{R_j} \times g_{L_j})] + [(g_{R_i} \times g_{L_i}) \underline{\times} (g_{R_k} \times g_{L_k})] \end{aligned}$$

if “ $\cdot$ ” is identified to “ $\underline{\times}$ ”.

### 2.2.3 Definitions

- a) A **left (resp. right) integral domain** is a commutative left (resp. right) semiring  $R_{L,R}$  with identity  $\mathbb{1}_{R_{L,R}}$  and no zero divisors.

An **integral bidomain** is a commutative bisemiring  $R_{R \times L}$  with identity  $\mathbb{1}_{R_{R \times L}}$  and no zero bidivisors (i.e. products, right by left, of divisors).

- b) A **left (resp. right) division semiring**  $R_{L,R}$  is a left (resp. right) integral domain if every element of  $R_{L,R}$  is a unit (i.e. invertible).

A **division bisemiring** is an integral bidomain if every bielement of  $R_{R \times L}$  is a biunit (i.e. product of a right unit by a left unit).

- c) A **left (resp. right) semifield**  $\tilde{F}_L$  (resp.  $\tilde{F}_R$ ) is a commutative left (resp. right) division semiring.

A **bisemifield**  $\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_L$  is a commutative division bisemiring.

## 2.3 Bisemimodules

### 2.3.1 Definitions

Let  $R_{L,R}$  be a left (resp. right) semiring. A **left (resp. right)  $R_{L,R}$ -semimodule**  $M_{L,R}$  is an additive abelian left (resp. right) semigroup (or monoid)  $M_{L,R}$  together with a function  $R_L \times M_L \rightarrow M_L$  (resp.  $M_R \times R_{R,L} \rightarrow M_R$ ) such that:

- a)  $r_L (g_{L_i} + g_{L_j}) = r_L g_{L_i} + r_L g_{L_j}$  ,  $\forall r_L \in R_L$  ,  $g_{L_i}, g_{L_j} \in M_L$  ,  
 (resp.  $(g_{R_i} + g_{R_j}) r_R = g_{R_i} r_R + g_{R_j} r_R$  ,  $\forall r_R \in R_R$  ,  $g_{R_i}, g_{R_j} \in M_R$  ).
- b)  $(r_L + s_L) g_{L_i} = r_L g_{L_i} + s_L g_{L_i}$   
 (resp.  $g_{R_i} (r_R + s_R) = g_{R_i} r_R + g_{R_i} s_R$  )
- c)  $r_L (s_L g_{L_i}) = (r_L s_L) g_{L_i}$   
 (resp.  $(g_{R_i} s_R) r_R = g_{R_i} (s_R r_R)$  )

$M_{L,R}$  is a **left (resp. right) unitary  $R_{L,R}$ -semimodule** if  $R_{L,R}$  has an identity element  $\mathbb{1}_{L,R}$  such that

$$\mathbb{1}_L g_{L_i} = g_{L_i} , \quad (\text{resp. } g_{R_i} \mathbb{1}_R = g_{R_i} ) .$$

$M_{L,R}$  is a **left (resp. right)  $R_{L,R}$ -vector semispace** if:

- $R_{L,R}$  is a left (resp. right) division semiring.
- $M_{L,R}$  is a unitary left (resp. right)  $R_{L,R}$ -semimodule.

### 2.3.2 Definition

A  $R_R \times R_L$ -bisemimodule  $M_{R \times L}$  over a bisemiring  $R_{R \times L} \equiv R_R \times R_L$  is an additive abelian bisemigroup (or bimonoid)  $M_{R \times L}$  together with a (bi)function

$(R_{R \times L}) \times (M_{R \times L}) \rightarrow M_{R \times L}$  given by:

$$(r_R \times r_L) \times (g_{R_i} \times g_{L_i}) \longrightarrow (g_{R_i} r_R \times r_L g_{L_i}), \quad \forall (g_{R_i} \times g_{L_i}) \in M_{R \times L},$$

such that:

- a)  $((g_{R_i} + g_{R_j}) r_R \times r_L (g_{L_i} + g_{L_j})) = (g_{R_i} r_R \times r_L g_{L_i}) \underline{\times} (g_{R_j} r_R \times r_L g_{L_j})$  where  $\underline{\times}$  denotes the cross binary operation.
- b)  $(g_{R_i} r_R \times r_L g_{L_i}) \neq (g_{R_i} r_L \times r_R g_{L_i})$ .

### 2.3.3 Definition: tensor product of right and left semimodules over $R_R \times R_L$

The tensor product  $M_R \otimes_{R_R \times R_L} M_L$  of the right  $R_R$ -semimodule  $M_R$  by the left  $R_L$ -semimodule  $M_L$  is the quotient  $G_{R \times L} / K_{R \times L}$  of the abelian bisemigroup  $G_{R \times L}$  by the bisemisubgroup  $K_{R \times L}$  generated by bielements of the form  $(g_{R_i} + g_{R_j}) \times (g_{L_i} + g_{L_j})$  submitted to the cross binary operation “ $\underline{\times}$ ” in such a way that:

$$(g_{R_i} \times g_{L_i}) \underline{\times} (g_{R_j} \times g_{L_j}) \longrightarrow (g_{R_i} + g_{R_j}) \times (g_{L_i} \times g_{L_j}).$$

- **The coset**  $(g_{R_i} \times g_{L_i}) + K_{R \times L}$  of the bielement  $(g_{R_i} \times g_{L_i})$  in  $G_{R \times L}$  is noted  $(\mathbf{g}_{R_i} \otimes \mathbf{g}_{L_i})$  and **is of the form**:

$$\sum_{\ell} (n_{\ell} g_{R_{i_{\ell}}} \otimes m_{\ell} g_{L_{i_{\ell}}}), \quad n_{\ell}, m_{\ell} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

- **The bigenerators**  $(g_{R_i} \otimes g_{L_i})$  of  $M_R \otimes_{R_R \times R_L} M_L$  satisfy the bilinearity condition:

$$(g_{R_i} + g_{R_j}) \otimes (g_{L_i} + g_{L_j}) = (g_{R_i} \otimes g_{L_i}) + (g_{R_j} \otimes g_{L_j}) + (g_{R_i} \otimes g_{L_j}) + (g_{R_j} \otimes g_{L_i}).$$

### 2.3.4 Proposition

$M_R \otimes_{R_R \times R_L} M_L$  is a  $R_R \times R_L$ -bisemimodule  $M_{R \times L}$

**Proof.** Indeed,  $M_R \otimes_{R_R \times R_L} M_L$  is a quotient bisemigroup  $G_{R \times L} / K_{R \times L}$  of which bielements are of the form  $\sum_{\ell} (n_{\ell} g_{R_{i_{\ell}}} \otimes m_{\ell} g_{L_{i_{\ell}}})$  according to definition 2.3.3.

On the other hand, a  $R_R \times R_L$ -bisemimodule  $M_{R \times L}$  is an additive bisemigroup of which bielements are  $(g_{R_i} r_R \times r_L g_{L_i})$  according to definition 2.3.2.

It then appears that  $\sum_{\ell} (n_{\ell} g_{R_{i_{\ell}}} \otimes m_{\ell} g_{L_{i_{\ell}}})$  is a general form for  $(g_{R_i} r_R \times r_L g_{L_i})$  if  $r_R, r_L \in \mathbb{Z}$  and thus, we have the thesis. ■

### 2.3.5 Proposition

Let  $M_R$  (resp.  $M_L$ ) be a right (resp. left)  $R_R$ -semimodule (resp.  $R_L$ -semimodule).

Let  $A$  (resp.  $B$ ) be a right (resp. left)  $R$ -semimodule over a ring  $R$ .

Then,  $A \otimes_R B$  is a quotient semigroup  $G/K$  while  $M_R \otimes_{R_R \times R_L} M_L$  is a quotient bisemigroup  $G_{R \times L}/K_{R \times L}$ .

**Proof.** Classically [Hun], [Sch1], [Gre],  $A \otimes_R B$  is a quotient semigroup  $G/K$  where  $F$  is a free abelian semigroup on the set  $A \times B$  and  $K$  is a subsemigroup in such a way that the coset  $(a, b) + K$  of the element  $(a, b)$  in  $F$  is denoted  $a \otimes b$  and has the general form

$$\sum_i n_i (a_i \otimes b_i), \quad n_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad a_i \in A, \quad b_i \in B.$$

So,  $A \otimes_R B$  differs from  $M_R \otimes_{R_R \times R_L} M_L$  in the sense that  $A \otimes_R B$  has a linear character while  $M_R \otimes_{R_R \times R_L} M_L$  behaves bilinearly. ■

## 2.4 Inner product bisemispace and bilinear Hilbert spaces

### 2.4.1 Definition: vector bisemisphere

- Recall that  $M_{L,R}$  is a **left (resp. right) vector  $R_{L,R}$ -semisphere** if:
  - a)  $R_{L,R}$  is a left (resp. right) division semiring.
  - b)  $M_{L,R}$  is a unitary left (resp. right)  $R_{L,R}$ -semimodule.
- A **vector  $R_R \times R_L$ -bisemisphere  $M_{R \times L}$**  is a unitary  $R_R \times R_L$ -bisemimodule over a division bisemiring  $R_R \times R_L$ .

### 2.4.2 Proposition

The tensor product  $M_R \otimes_{R_R \times R_L} M_L$  of a right vector  $R_R$ -semisphere  $M_R$  by a left vector  $R_L$ -semisphere  $M_L$  is a vector  $R_R \times R_L$ -bisemisphere.

**Proof.** Indeed,  $M_R \otimes_{R_R \times R_L} M_L$  is a unitary  $R_R \times R_L$ -bisemimodule according to proposition 2.3.4. ■

### 2.4.3 Proposition

The vector  $R_R \times R_L$ -bisemisphere  $M_R \otimes_{R_R \times R_L} M_L$  of dimension  $n^2$  splits naturally into:

$$\begin{aligned} M_R \otimes_{R_R \times R_L} M_L &\equiv M_R \otimes M_L && \text{(condensed form)} \\ &= (M_R \otimes_D M_L) \oplus (M_R \otimes_{OD} M_L) \end{aligned}$$

where:

- $(M_R \otimes_D M_L)$  is a **diagonal vector  $R_R \times R_L$ -bisemispac**e of dimension  $n$  characterized by a bilinear diagonal basis  $\{e_\alpha \otimes f_\alpha\}_\alpha$ ,  $\forall e_\alpha \in M_R, f_\alpha \in M_L$ .
- $(M_R \otimes_{OD} M_L)$  is an **off-diagonal vector  $R_R \times R_L$ -bisemispac**e of dimension  $(n^2 - n)$  characterized by a bilinear off-diagonal basis  $\{e_\alpha \otimes f_\beta\}_{\alpha \neq \beta}$ .

**Proof.** The vector  $R_R \times R_L$ -bisemispac  $M_R \otimes M_L$ , being a unitary  $R_R \times R_L$ -bisemimodule, is an additive abelian bisemigroup.

So, its bielements  $(x_{R_i} \times x_{L_i})$ ,  $\forall x_{R_i} \in M_R, x_{L_i} \in M_L$ , are submitted to the cross binary operation “ $\underline{\times}$ ” according to definition 2.1.2.

Then, they split as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (x_{R_i} \times x_{L_i}) \underline{\times} (x_{R_j} \times x_{L_j}) \\ \longrightarrow (x_{R_i} + x_{R_j}) \times (x_{L_i} + x_{L_j}) = (x_{R_i} \times x_{L_i}) + (x_{R_j} \times x_{L_j}) + (x_{R_i} \times x_{L_j}) + (x_{R_j} \times x_{L_i}) \end{aligned}$$

in such a way that:

- $(x_{R_i} \times x_{L_i})$  and  $(x_{R_j} \times x_{L_j})$  are diagonal bielements belonging to the diagonal vector  $R_R \times R_L$ -bisemispac  $(M_R \otimes_D M_L)$  characterized by a bilinear diagonal basis  $\{e_{\alpha_i} \otimes f_{\alpha_i}\}_{\alpha_i}$ .
- $(x_{R_i} \times x_{L_j})$  and  $(x_{R_j} \times x_{L_i})$  are off-diagonal bielements belonging to the off-diagonal vector  $R_R \times R_L$ -bisemispac  $(M_R \otimes_{OD} M_L)$  characterized by a bilinear off-diagonal basis  $\{e_{\alpha_i} \otimes f_{\beta_i}\}_{\alpha_i \neq \beta_i}$ . ■

#### 2.4.4 Definitions: mixed product bisemispace

- a) A diagonal vector  $R_R \times R_L$ -bisemispac  $(M_R \otimes_D M_L)$  endowed with a diagonal mixed product  $[\cdot, \cdot]_D$  is called a **diagonal mixed product bisemispac**e if the bilinear function  $[\cdot, \cdot]_D$  from  $M_R \otimes_D M_L$  to  $R_R \times R_L$  satisfies the following conditions:

- 1)  $[x_{R_i}, x_{L_i}]_D \geq 0$  and  $[x_{R_i}, x_{L_i}]_D = 0$  if  $x_{R_i} = 0$  or  $x_{L_i} = 0$ .
- 2)  $[x_{R_i} + x_{R_j}, x_{L_i} + x_{L_j}]_D = [x_{R_i}, x_{L_i}]_D + [x_{R_j}, x_{L_j}]_D + [x_{R_i}, x_{L_j}]_D + [x_{R_j}, x_{L_i}]_D$
- 3)  $[x_{R_i}, \alpha_{L_i} x_{L_i}]_D = \alpha_{L_i} [x_{R_i}, x_{L_i}]_D$ ,  $\forall \alpha_{L_i} \in R_L$ ,  
 $[\alpha_{R_i} x_{R_i}, x_{L_i}]_D = \alpha_{R_i} [x_{R_i}, x_{L_i}]_D$ ,  $\forall \alpha_{R_i} \in R_R$ .

The function  $[\cdot, \cdot]_D$  will be called a diagonal mixed product.

- b) Similarly, an off-diagonal vector  $R_R \times R_L$ -bisemispac  $(M_R \otimes_{OD} M_L)$  endowed with an off-diagonal mixed product  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{OD}$  will be called an **off-diagonal mixed product bisemispac**e if the bilinear function  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{OD}$  from  $M_R \otimes_{OD} M_L$  to  $R_R \times R_L$  satisfies conditions similar to those of a).

### 2.4.5 Definition: extended mixed product bisemispaces

The vector  $R_R \times R_L$ -bisemispaces  $(M_R \otimes_{R_R \times R_L} M_L)$  (noted  $M_R \otimes M_L$ ) endowed with an (extended) bilinear function  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  will be called an extended mixed product bisemispaces if:

- 1)  $M_R \otimes M_L$  is characterized by a bilinear basis  $\{e^\alpha \otimes f_\beta\}_{\alpha, \beta}$ ,  $\forall e^\alpha \in M_R, f_\beta \in M_L$ .
- 2) the (extended) bilinear function  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  from  $M_R \times M_L$  to  $R_R \times R_L$  satisfies conditions as those of definitions 2.4.4.

### 2.4.6 Proposition (extended, diagonal and off-diagonal “external” left (resp. right) product bisemispaces)

Let  $(M_R \otimes_{R_R \times R_L} M_L)$  (or  $(M_R \otimes M_L)$ ),  $(M_R \otimes_D M_L)$  and  $(M_R \otimes_{OD} M_L)$  be respectively the extended, diagonal and off-diagonal mixed product bisemispaces endowed with the corresponding bilinear functions  $[\cdot, \cdot]$ ,  $[\cdot, \cdot]_D$  and  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{OD}$ .

Then, the **linear projective morphisms**:

$$\begin{aligned}
 p_L : \quad M_R \otimes M_L &\longrightarrow M_{R(P)/L} \equiv M_{R(P)} \otimes M_L \\
 p_L : \quad M_R \otimes_D M_L &\longrightarrow M_{R(P)/_DL} \equiv M_{R(P)} \otimes_D M_L \\
 p_L : \quad M_R \otimes_{OD} M_L &\longrightarrow M_{R(P)/_{OD}L} \equiv M_{R(P)} \otimes_{OD} M_L \\
 &\text{where } p_L = \text{Hom}_{R_R \times R_L}(M_R, M_L), \\
 (\text{resp. } p_R : \quad M_R \otimes M_L &\longrightarrow M_{L(P)/R} \equiv M_{L(P)} \otimes M_R \\
 p_R : \quad M_R \otimes_D M_L &\longrightarrow M_{L(P)/_DR} \equiv M_{L(P)} \otimes_D M_R \\
 p_R : \quad M_R \otimes_{OD} M_L &\longrightarrow M_{L(P)/_{OD}R} \equiv M_{L(P)} \otimes_{OD} M_R ) \\
 &\text{where } p_R = \text{Hom}_{R_R \times R_L}(M_L, M_R),
 \end{aligned}$$

**transform** respectively **the** extended, diagonal and off-diagonal **mixed product bisemispaces into** extended, diagonal and off-diagonal **external left (resp. right) product bisemispaces**  $M_{R(P)/L}$ ,  $M_{R(P)/_DL}$  and  $M_{R(P)/_{OD}L}$  (resp.  $M_{L(P)/R}$ ,  $M_{L(P)/_DR}$  and  $M_{L(P)/_{OD}R}$ ) by mapping the right (resp. left) vector  $R_R$ - (resp.  $R_L$ )-semispaces  $M_R$  (resp.  $M_L$ ) onto the left (resp. right) vector  $R_L$ - (resp.  $R_R$ )-semispaces  $M_L$  (resp.  $M_R$ ), in such a way that  $M_{R(P)}$  (resp.  $M_{L(P)}$ ) becomes the dual semispaces of  $M_L$  (resp.  $M_R$ ).

**Proof.** The projective linear morphisms

$$p_L = \text{Hom}_{R_R \times R_L}(M_R, M_L) \quad (\text{resp. } p_R = \text{Hom}_{R_R \times R_L}(M_L, M_R))$$

transform the bilinear functions  $[\cdot, \cdot]$ ,  $[\cdot, \cdot]_D$  and  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{OD}$  respectively of  $(M_R \otimes M_L)$ ,  $(M_R \otimes_D M_L)$  and  $(M_R \otimes_{OD} M_L)$  according to:

$$\begin{aligned} p_L : \quad [\cdot, \cdot] &\longrightarrow [\cdot, \cdot]^L, \\ p_L : \quad [\cdot, \cdot]_D &\longrightarrow [\cdot, \cdot]_D^L, \\ p_L : \quad [\cdot, \cdot]_{OD} &\longrightarrow [\cdot, \cdot]_{OD}^L, \\ (\text{resp. } p_R : \quad [\cdot, \cdot] &\longrightarrow [\cdot, \cdot]^R, \\ p_R : \quad [\cdot, \cdot]_D &\longrightarrow [\cdot, \cdot]_D^R, \\ p_R : \quad [\cdot, \cdot]_{OD} &\longrightarrow [\cdot, \cdot]_{OD}^R), \end{aligned}$$

where  $[\cdot, \cdot]^L$ ,  $[\cdot, \cdot]_D^L$  and  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{OD}^L$  (resp.  $[\cdot, \cdot]^R$ ,  $[\cdot, \cdot]_D^R$  and  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{OD}^R$ ) are respectively left (resp. right) extended, diagonal and off-diagonal external scalar products [Sch2]:

a) from  $M_{R(P)} \times M_L$ ,  $M_{R(P)} \times_D M_L$  and  $M_{R(P)} \times_{OD} M_L$  (resp.  $M_R \times M_{L(P)}$ ,  $M_R \times_D M_{L(P)}$  and  $M_R \times_{OD} M_{L(P)}$ ) respectively to  $R_{R(P)} \times R_L$ ,  $R_{R(P)} \times_D R_L$  and  $R_{R(P)} \times_{OD} R_L$  (resp.  $R_R \times R_{L(P)}$ ,  $R_R \times_D R_{L(P)}$  and  $R_R \times_{OD} R_{L(P)}$ ) with:

- $R_{R(P)}$  (resp.  $R_{L(P)}$ ) being the semiring  $R_R$  (resp.  $R_L$ ) projected onto  $R_L$  (resp.  $R_R$ ).
- $M_{R(P)}$  (resp.  $M_{L(P)}$ ) being  $M_R$  (resp.  $M_L$ ) projected onto  $M_L$  (resp.  $M_R$ ) in such a way that  $M_{R(P)}$  (resp.  $M_{L(P)}$ ) is the semispace of one-forms on  $M_L$  (resp.  $M_R$ ).

b) characterized by metrics of type  $(1, 1)$ .

$p_L$  (resp.  $p_R$ ) is then a covariant functor. ■

#### 2.4.7 Proposition (extended, diagonal and off-diagonal “internal” left (resp. right) product bisemispace)

Let  $M_{R(P)/L}$ ,  $M_{R(P)/_D L}$  and  $M_{R(P)/_{OD} L}$  (resp.  $M_{L(P)/R}$ ,  $M_{L(P)/_D R}$  and  $M_{L(P)/_{OD} R}$ ) be respectively the extended, diagonal and off-diagonal external left (resp. right) product bisemispace.

Then, the bijective linear isometric homomorphisms:

$$\mathbf{B_L} : M_{R(P)} \longrightarrow M_{L_R} \quad (\text{resp. } \mathbf{B_R} : M_{L(P)} \longrightarrow M_{R_L}),$$

mapping each covariant (resp. contravariant) element of  $M_{R(P)}$  (resp.  $M_{L(P)}$ ) onto the corresponding contravariant (resp. covariant) element of  $M_L$  (resp.  $M_R$ ), transform these external left (resp. right) product bisemispace into the corresponding internal left (resp. right) product bisemispace according to:

$$\begin{aligned} B_L : M_{R(P)/L} &\longrightarrow M_{L_R/L} \equiv M_{L_R} \otimes M_L \\ B_L : M_{R(P)/_DL} &\longrightarrow M_{L_R/_DL} \equiv M_{L_R} \otimes_D M_L \\ B_L : M_{R(P)/_{OD}L} &\longrightarrow M_{L_R/_ODL} \equiv M_{L_R} \otimes_{OD} M_L \\ (\text{resp. } B_R : M_{L(P)/R} &\longrightarrow M_{R_L/R} \equiv M_{R_L} \otimes M_R \\ B_R : M_{L(P)/_DR} &\longrightarrow M_{R_L/_DR} \equiv M_{R_L} \otimes_D M_R \\ B_R : M_{L(P)/_{OD}R} &\longrightarrow M_{R_L/_ODR} \equiv M_{R_L} \otimes_{OD} M_R ). \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** Indeed, the bijective linear isometric homomorphisms  $B_L$  (resp.  $B_R$ ) transform the external scalar products of the external left (resp. right) product bisemispace  $M_{R(P)/L}$ ,  $M_{R(P)/_DL}$  and  $M_{R(P)/_{OD}L}$  (resp.  $M_{L(P)/R}$ ,  $M_{L(P)/_DR}$  and  $M_{L(P)/_{OD}R}$ ) according to:

$$\begin{aligned} B_L : [\cdot, \cdot]^L &\longrightarrow \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^L, \\ B_L : [\cdot, \cdot]_D^L &\longrightarrow \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_D^L, \\ B_L : [\cdot, \cdot]_{OD}^L &\longrightarrow \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{OD}^L, \\ (\text{resp. } B_R : [\cdot, \cdot]^R &\longrightarrow \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^R, \\ B_R : [\cdot, \cdot]_D^R &\longrightarrow \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_D^R, \\ B_R : [\cdot, \cdot]_{OD}^R &\longrightarrow \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{OD}^R), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^L$ ,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_D^L$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{OD}^L$  (resp.  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^R$ ,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_D^R$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{OD}^R$ ) are respectively left (resp. right) extended, diagonal and off-diagonal inner (or internal) scalar products from  $M_{L_R} \times M_L$ ,

$M_{L_R} \times_D M_L$  and  $M_{L_R} \times_{OD} M_L$  (resp.  $M_{R_L} \times M_R$ ,  $M_{R_L} \times_D M_R$  and  $M_{R_L} \times_{OD} M_R$ ) respectively to  $R_{L_R} \times R_L$ ,  $R_{L_R} \times_D R_L$ , and  $R_{L_R} \times_{OD} R_L$  (resp.  $R_{R_L} \times R_R$ ,  $R_{R_L} \times_D R_R$  and  $R_{R_L} \times_{OD} R_R$ ) with  $R_{L_R}$  (resp.  $R_{R_L}$ ) being the semiring  $R_R$  (resp.  $R_L$ ) projected onto  $R_L$  (resp.  $R_R$ ) under the condition that the metrics of these inner scalar products [Wei] be of type  $(0, 2)$  (resp.  $(2, 0)$ ).

So,  $B_L$  (resp.  $B_R$ ), corresponding to the Riesz lemma mapping [G-S], [R-N], transforms the metrics of type  $(1, 1)$  of the external scalar products into metrics of type  $(0, 2)$  (resp.  $(2, 0)$ ) of the respective inner scalar products [B-R], [C-H], [God], [J-N]. ■

#### 2.4.8 Corollary

*Every left (resp. right) diagonal internal product bisemispace  $(M_{L_R} \otimes_D M_L)$  (resp.  $(M_{R_L} \otimes_D M_R)$ ), endowed with the diagonal inner scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_D^L$  (resp.  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_D^R$ ), is a normed bilinear semispace with “bilinear norm”  $\langle x_{L_{R_i}}, x_{L_i} \rangle_D^L$  (resp.  $\langle x_{R_{L_i}}, x_{R_i} \rangle_D^R$ ),  $x_{L_{R_i}} \in M_{L_R}$ ,  $x_{L_i} \in M_L$ ,  $x_{R_{L_i}} \in M_{R_L}$ ,  $x_{R_i} \in M_R$  in such a way that:*

- 1) *the semispaces  $M_L$ ,  $M_{L_R}$ ,  $M_R$  and  $M_{R_L}$  are normed linear semispaces with norm*

$$\|x_{L_i}\| = (\langle x_{L_{R_i}}, x_{L_i} \rangle_D^L)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

- 2)  $\|x_{L_i}\| = \|x_{L_{R_i}}\| = \|x_{R_{L_i}}\| = \|x_{R_i}\|$ .

#### Proof.

- 1) The requirement that the internal product bisemispaces  $(M_{L_R} \otimes_D M_L)$  and  $(M_{R_L} \otimes_D M_R)$  be normed bilinear semispaces is similar to the condition that every inner product space is a normed linear space with norm  $\|x\| = \langle x, x \rangle$  where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes a classical inner scalar product.
- 2) The equalities  $\|x_{L_i}\| = \|x_{L_{R_i}}\| = \|x_{R_{L_i}}\| = \|x_{R_i}\|$  result from the fact that these elements, being symmetric by construction, have a same length.
- 3) As  $(M_{L_R} \otimes_D M_L)$  and  $(M_{R_L} \otimes_D M_R)$  are normed bilinear semispaces, we have that:
  - a) if their elements  $x_{L_{R_i}}$ ,  $x_{L_i}$ ,  $x_{R_{L_i}}$  and  $x_{R_i}$  are real-valued, then the semirings  $R_L$  and  $R_{R_L}$  are semirings of positive real numbers while the semirings  $R_R$  and  $R_{R_L}$  are semirings of negative real numbers (see proof of proposition 2.4.7 for the definition of these semirings in the present context).
  - b) if their elements  $x_{L_{R_i}}$ ,  $x_{L_i}$ ,  $x_{R_{L_i}}$  and  $x_{R_i}$  are complex-valued, then:
    - $\alpha$ ) the semirings  $R_L$  and  $R_{R_L}$  are semirings of complex numbers  $z = a + ib$ ;
    - $\beta$ ) the semirings  $R_{L_R}$  and  $R_R$  are semirings of conjugate complex numbers  $z^* = a - ib$ ;

$\gamma$ ) the left (resp. right) diagonal inner scalar product  $\langle x_{L_{R_i}}, x_{L_i} \rangle_D^L$  (resp.  $\langle x_{R_{L_i}}, x_{R_i} \rangle_D^R$ ) is such that

- $x_{L_{R_i}} = \overline{x_{L_i}}$  (resp.  $x_{R_{L_i}} = \overline{x_{R_i}}$ )
- $\langle y_{L_{R_i}}, x_{L_i} \rangle_D^L = \langle x_{R_{L_i}}, y_{R_i} \rangle_D^R$ ,  $\forall y_{L_{R_i}} \in M_{L_R}, y_{R_i} \in M_R$ .

Thus,  $\langle x_{L_{R_i}}, x_{L_i} \rangle_D^L$  is a sesquilinear form, linear on the right and antilinear on the left.  $\blacksquare$

#### 2.4.9 Proposition

The diagonal and extended internal left (resp. right) product bisemispace  $M_{L_R/DL}$  (resp.  $M_{R_L/DR}$ ) and  $M_{L_R/L}$  (resp.  $M_{R_L/R}$ ) are respectively **an orthogonal and an extended left (resp. right) separable bilinear Hilbert semispace** while the off-diagonal internal left (resp. right) product bisemisphere  $M_{L_R/ODL}$  (resp.  $M_{R_L/ODR}$ ) has been called an (internal) left (resp. right) bilinear magnetic space.

**Proof.**

- a) • The diagonal internal left (resp. right) product bisemisphere  $M_{L_R/DL} \equiv M_{L_R} \otimes_D M_L$  (resp.  $M_{R_L/DR} \equiv M_{R_L} \otimes_D M_R$ ), endowed with a diagonal left (resp. right) inner scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_D^L$  (resp.  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_D^R$ ) verifying:

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_D^R = \langle \overline{\cdot}, \cdot \rangle_D^L$$

under a (bi)involution, is characterized by:

- 1) bielements

$$\begin{aligned} (x_{L_{R_i}} \otimes_D x_{L_i}) &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\ell} (x_{L_{R_{i\alpha}}} e_{\alpha} \otimes_D x_{L_{i\alpha}} e_{\alpha}) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\ell} x_{L_{R_{i\alpha}}} \cdot x_{L_{i\alpha}} (e_{\alpha} \otimes e_{\alpha}), \quad 1 \leq \alpha \leq \ell \leq \infty, \end{aligned}$$

developed in the bilinear orthogonal basis  $\{e_{\alpha} \otimes e_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha=1}^{\ell}$  of dimension  $\ell$ .

The bielements  $(x_{L_{R_i}} \otimes_D x_{L_i})$  are two confounded elements.

- 2) left inner scalar products  $\langle x_{L_{R_i}}, x_{L_i} \rangle_D^L$ .

If

$$\overline{x}_{L_{R_i}} \cdot x_{L_i} = \{\overline{x}_{L_{R_{i1}}} \cdot x_{L_{i1}}, \dots, \overline{x}_{L_{R_{i\alpha}}} \cdot x_{L_{i\alpha}}, \dots, \overline{x}_{L_{R_{i\ell}}} \cdot x_{L_{i\ell}}\}$$

denotes the set of  $\ell$ -bituple of complex numbers in  $\mathbb{C}^{\ell} \times_D \mathbb{C}^{\ell}$ , then  $\langle x_{L_{R_i}}, x_{L_i} \rangle_D^L$  can be developed according to:

$$\langle x_{L_{R_i}}, x_{L_i} \rangle_D^L = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\ell} \overline{x}_{L_{R_{i\alpha}}} \cdot x_{L_{i\alpha}}$$

(see corollary 2.4.8).

- The diagonal internal left product bisemisphere  $M_{L_R/DL} \equiv M_{L_R} \otimes_D M_L$  is in one-to-one correspondence with the linear inner product semisphere  $\mathcal{H}$  which is a pre-Hilbert semisphere because:

- 1)  $\mathcal{H}$  is also characterized by inner scalar products, noted generally  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , from  $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ . These inner scalar products of  $\mathcal{H}$  are the left inner scalar products  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_D^L$  of  $M_{L_R/DL}$ .
- 2) the bielements  $(x_{L_{R_i}} \otimes_D x_{L_i})$  of  $M_{L_R/DL}$  are in one-to-one correspondence with the elements  $x_{L_i}$  of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

So, it can be understood why the diagonal internal left product bisemisphere  $M_{L_R/DL}$  is called (and is) an orthogonal left bilinear pre-Hilbert semisphere (it is an orthogonal left bilinear Hilbert semisphere if it is complete with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_D^L$ ).

- Similarly, the diagonal internal right product bisemisphere  $M_{R_L/DR} \equiv M_{R_L} \otimes_D M_R$  is an orthogonal right bilinear Hilbert semisphere because it would have to be in one-to-one correspondence with a linear inner product semisphere  $\mathcal{H}_R$  (which would be a right Hilbert semisphere) characterized by:

- 1) elements  $x_{R_i}$  in one-to-one correspondence with the bielements  $(x_{R_{L_i}} \otimes_D x_{R_i})$  of  $M_{R_L/DR}$  (in fact, the elements  $x_{R_{L_i}}$  can be identified with the elements  $x_{R_i}$ ).
- 2) inner scalar products  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  from  $\mathcal{H}_R \times \mathcal{H}_R$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Then, it becomes clear that  $\mathcal{H}_R \equiv \mathcal{H}$  if the elements  $x_{R_i}$  of  $\mathcal{H}_R$  are identified with the elements  $x_{L_i}$  of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

- b) The extended internal left (resp. right) product bisemisphere  $M_{L_R/L} \equiv M_{L_R} \otimes M_L$  (resp.  $M_{R_L/R} \equiv M_{R_L} \otimes M_R$ ), endowed with an extended left (resp. right) inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^L$  (resp.  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^R$ ) verifying  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^R = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^L$ , is characterized by:

- 1) bielements

$$\begin{aligned} (x_{L_{R_i}} \otimes x_{L_i}) &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\ell} \sum_{\beta=1}^{\ell} (x_{L_{R_{i\alpha}}} e_{\alpha} \otimes x_{L_{i\beta}} e_{\beta}) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\ell} \sum_{\beta=1}^{\ell} x_{L_{R_{i\alpha}}} \cdot x_{L_{i\beta}} (e_{\alpha} \otimes e_{\beta}), \quad 1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq \ell \leq \infty, \end{aligned}$$

developed in the bilinear basis  $\{e_{\alpha} \otimes e_{\beta}\}_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{\ell}$ .

- 2) left extended inner scalar products  $\langle x_{L_{R_i}}, x_{L_i} \rangle^L$  which can be developed according to:

$$\langle x_{L_{R_i}}, x_{L_i} \rangle^L = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\ell} \sum_{\beta=1}^{\ell} \bar{x}_{L_{R_{i\alpha}}} \cdot x_{L_{i\beta}}$$

if  $\bar{x}_{L_{R_i}} \cdot x_{L_i}$  denotes the set of  $\ell^2$ -bituples of  $\mathbb{C}^{\ell} \times \mathbb{C}^{\ell}$ .

With reference to a), it is then clear that  $M_{L_R/L}$  (resp.  $M_{R_L/R}$ ) is called an extended left (resp. right) bilinear Hilbert semisphere since it is endowed with an extended left (resp. right) inner scalar product.

c) Similarly, the off-diagonal internal left (resp. right) product bisemispaces  $M_{L_R/ODL}$  (resp.  $M_{R_L/ODR}$ ) is called an internal left (resp. right) bilinear magnetic semispaces because it is endowed with:

- 1) a left (resp. right) off-diagonal inner scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{OD}^L$  (resp.  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{OD}^R$ ) developed according to:

$$\langle x_{L_{R_i}}, x_{L_i} \rangle_{OD}^L = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\ell} \sum_{\substack{\beta=1 \\ \alpha \neq \beta}}^{\ell} \bar{x}_{L_{R_i\alpha}} \cdot x_{L_i\beta}$$

if  $\bar{x}_{L_{R_i}} \cdot x_{L_i}$  denotes the set of bituples of  $\mathbb{C}^{\ell} \times_{OD} \mathbb{C}^{\ell}$ .

- 2) off-diagonal bielements

$$(x_{L_{R_i}} \otimes_{OD} x_{L_i}) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\ell} \sum_{\substack{\beta=1 \\ \alpha \neq \beta}}^{\ell} x_{L_{R_i\alpha}} \cdot x_{L_i\beta} (e_{\alpha} \otimes_{OD} e_{\beta}),$$

in the bilinear non-orthogonal basis  $\{e_{\alpha} \otimes_{OD} e_{\beta}\}_{\alpha \neq \beta}$ . ■

## 2.5 Semialgebras and bisemialgebras

### 2.5.1 Definitions

#### 1. Left and right semialgebras:

Let  $R_L$  (resp.  $R_R$ ) be a commutative left (resp. right) semiring with identity. A left (resp. right)  $R_L$ -semialgebra  $A_L$  (resp.  $R_R$ -semialgebra  $A_R$ ) is a semiring  $A_L$  (resp.  $A_R$ ) such that:

- (a)  $(A_L, +)$  (resp.  $(A_R, +)$ ) is a unitary left (resp. right)  $R_L$ -semimodule (resp.  $R_R$ -semimodule).
- (b)  $\mu_L : A_L \otimes A_L \rightarrow A_L$  (resp.  $\mu_R : A_R \otimes A_R \rightarrow A_R$ ) is a linear homomorphism verifying:

$$\begin{aligned} r_L (a_L b_L) &= (r_L a_L) b_L = a_L (r_L b_L), \quad \forall r_L \in R_L, a_L, b_L \in A_L, \\ (\text{resp. } a_R b_R) r_R &= a_R (b_R r_R) = b_R (a_R r_R), \quad \forall r_R \in R_R, a_R, b_R \in A_R. \end{aligned}$$

- (c)  $\eta_L : R_L \rightarrow A_L$  (resp.  $\eta_R : R_R \rightarrow A_R$ ) is an injective homomorphism.

$A_R$  is thus the opposite semialgebra of  $A_L$ .

A left (resp. right)  $R_L$ -semialgebra  $A_L$  (resp.  $R_R$ -semialgebra  $A_R$ ) which, as a left (resp. right) semiring, is a left (resp. right) division semiring, is called a left (resp. right) division semialgebra.

#### 2. Let $R_{R \times L} = R_R \times R_L$ be a bisemiring as introduced in definition 2.2.1.

Then, a  **$R_{R \times L}$ -bisemialgebra**  $A_R \otimes A_L$  is a bisemiring  $A_{R \times L}$  such that:

- (a)  $(A_{R \times L}, +)$  is a unitary  $R_{R \times L}$ -bisemimodule;
- (b)  $\mu_{R \times L} : A_{R \times L} \underline{\times} A_{R \times L} \rightarrow A_{R \times L}$  is a bilinear homomorphism such that  $\underline{\times}$  is the cross binary operation acting on the bielements  $(a_R \times a_L) \in A_{R \times L}$  as follows:

$$[(a_R \times a_L) \underline{\times} (b_R \times b_L)] = [(a_R + b_R) \times (a_L + b_L)] .$$

- (c)  $\eta_{R \times L} : R_{R \times L} \rightarrow A_{R \times L}$  is an injective homomorphism.

### 2.5.2 Definition: left and right cosemialgebras

Let  $A_L$  (resp.  $A_R$ ) be a left (resp. right)  $R_L$ -semialgebra (resp.  $R_R$ -semialgebra). It is also a unitary left (resp. right)  $R_L$ -semimodule (resp.  $R_R$ -semimodule).

Let  $p_L$  (resp.  $p_R$ ) be the linear projective morphism:

$$p_L : A_R \longrightarrow A_{R(P)} , \quad (\text{resp. } p_R : A_L \longrightarrow A_{L(P)} ) ,$$

mapping  $A_R$  (resp.  $A_L$ ) into  $A_{R(P)}$  (resp.  $A_{L(P)}$ ) onto  $A_L$  (resp.  $A_R$ ) as introduced in proposition 2.4.6.

By this way, the  $R(P)$ - (resp.  $L(P)$ )-semialgebra  $A_{R(P)}$  (resp.  $A_{L(P)}$ ) becomes the dual semialgebra or **cosemialgebra of  $A_L$  (resp.  $A_R$ )** such that:

$$\text{a) } \Delta_L : A_{R(P)} \rightarrow A_{R(P)} \times A_L \quad (\text{resp. } \Delta_R : A_{L(P)} \rightarrow A_{L(P)} \times A_R)$$

is a linear homomorphism called comultiplication.

$$\text{b) } \varepsilon_L : A_{R(P)} \rightarrow R(P) \quad (\text{resp. } \varepsilon_R : A_{L(P)} \rightarrow L(P))$$

is a linear form.

### 2.5.3 Definition: bisemialgebras $(A_{R(P)} \otimes A_L)$ and $(A_{L(P)} \otimes A_R)$

Let  $R_{R(P) \times L}$  (resp.  $R_{L(P) \times R}$ ) be the bisemiring obtained by projecting the semiring  $R_R$  (resp.  $R_L$ ) onto  $R_L$  (resp.  $R_R$ ).

Then, the  $R_{R(P) \times L}$ -bisemialgebra  $A_{R(P)} \otimes A_L$  (resp.  $R_{L(P) \times R}$ -bisemialgebra  $A_{L(P)} \otimes A_R$ ) is a bisemiring  $A_{R(P) \times L}$  (resp.  $A_{L(P) \times R}$ ) in such a way that:

$$\text{a) } (A_{R(P) \times L}, +) \text{ (resp. } (A_{L(P) \times R}, +)) \text{ is a unitary } R_{R(P) \times L} \text{ - (resp. } R_{L(P) \times R}) \text{-bisemimodule.}$$

$$\text{b) } \mu_{R(P) \times L} : A_{R(P) \times L} \underline{\times} A_{R(P) \times L} \rightarrow A_{R(P) \times L} \\ (\text{resp. } \mu_{L(P) \times R} : A_{L(P) \times R} \underline{\times} A_{L(P) \times R} \rightarrow A_{L(P) \times R})$$

is a bilinear homomorphism where  $\underline{\times}$  is the cross binary operation.

$$\text{c) } \eta_{R(P) \times L} : R_{R(P) \times L} \rightarrow A_{R(P) \times L} \quad (\text{resp. } \eta_{L(P) \times R} : R_{L(P) \times R} \rightarrow A_{L(P) \times R})$$

is an injective homomorphism.

#### 2.5.4 Proposition

**A bisemialgebra of Hopf is given by the triple  $((A_{R(P)} \otimes A_L), S_b, (A_{L(P)} \otimes A_R))$  where:**

- $(A_{R(P)} \otimes A_L)$  and  $(A_{L(P)} \otimes A_R)$  are respectively the left and right bisemialgebras introduced in definition 2.5.3.
- $S_b$  (resp.  $S_b^{-1}$ ) is a bilinear antipode

$$S_b : A_{R(P)} \otimes A_L \rightarrow A_{L(P)} \otimes A_R \quad (\text{resp. } S_b^{-1} : A_{L(P)} \otimes A_R \rightarrow A_{R(P)} \otimes A_L)$$

mapping bijectively the left (resp. right) bisemialgebra into its symmetric right (resp. left) equivalent.

**Proof.**

1. Recall that a **Hopf algebra** over a field  $k$  is a bialgebra  $H(\mu, \eta, \Delta, \varepsilon)$  in such a way that:
  - (a)  $H(\mu, \eta)$  is a  $k$ -algebra where  $\mu = H \otimes H \rightarrow H$  is linear and  $\eta : k \rightarrow H$  is an injective homomorphism.
  - (b)  $H(\Delta, \varepsilon)$  is a coalgebra in such a way that  $\Delta : H \rightarrow H \otimes H$  is a linear morphism called comultiplication and  $\varepsilon = H \rightarrow k$  is a linear form.
  - (c) There exists a linear map  $S : H \rightarrow H$  called antipode based on the convolution product  $*$  on  $\text{Hom}_k(H, H)$  defined by [Cae], [M-M], [Car]:

$$\forall h_1, h_2 : H \rightarrow H \quad h_1 * h_2 = \mu \circ (h_1 \otimes h_2) \circ \Delta.$$

2. The corresponding bilinear case is naturally a bisemialgebra of Hopf where  $A_{R(P)}$  (resp.  $A_{L(P)}$ ) is the left (resp. right) cosemialgebra over  $A_L$  (resp.  $A_R$ ).

Consequently, the bilinear antipode  $S_b$  (resp.  $S_b^{-1}$ ), being an antihomomorphism of bisemialgebra of Hopf, maps naturally the left (resp. right) bisemialgebra of Hopf  $A_{R(P)} \otimes A_L$  (resp.  $A_{L(P)} \otimes A_R$ ) into the symmetric right (resp. left) bisemialgebra of Hopf  $A_{L(P)} \otimes A_R$  (resp.  $A_{R(P)} \otimes A_L$ ). ■

#### 2.5.5 Definition: \*-bisemialgebra

Let  $R_L$  (resp.  $R_R$ ) denote the field of complex (resp. conjugate complex) numbers. Then, a  $R_R \times R_L$ -bisemialgebra  $A_R \otimes A_L$  is a \*-bisemialgebra if there exist:

- a) the right involution:

$$I_{L \rightarrow R} : A_L \rightarrow A_R, \quad a_L \rightarrow a_R^*, \quad \forall a_L \in A_L, a_L^* \in A_R.$$

b) the left involution:

$$I_{R \rightarrow L} : A_R \rightarrow A_L, \quad a_R^* \rightarrow a_L,$$

verifying  $I_{R \rightarrow L} = I_{L \rightarrow R}^{-1}$  such that  $a_L^* = (a_R^*)^*$ .

So, the involution maps [Dix] are clearly defined in  $*$ -bisemialgebras.

### 3 Bilinear algebraic semigroups and bisemischemes

The fundamental semistructures and bisemistructures, having been introduced in chapter 2, it is now the aim of chapter 3 to take up some concrete cases of bisemistructures, under the circumstances especially bilinear algebraic semigroups (as announced in chapter 1) and bisemischemes.

#### 3.1 Bilinear algebraic semigroups

##### 3.1.1 The Gauss decomposition of the $R - R$ -bimodule $\mathbf{GL}_n(R)$

Let  $R$  be a ring. The set  $M_n(R)$  of all  $(n \times n)$  square matrices over  $R$  is a left  $R$ -module under addition of matrices. But,  $M_n(R)$  is also a  $R - R$ -bimodule under addition of matrices because every  $(n \times n)$  square matrix of  $M_n(R)$  is the product of a  $(n \times 1)$  column matrix by a  $(1, n)$  row matrix: so,  $M_n(R)$  is the product of the left  $R$ -module of column matrices by the right  $R$ -module of row matrices.

Similarly, the group  $\mathbf{GL}_n(R)$  of all  $(n \times n)$  invertible matrices  $\mathbf{gl}_n(R)$  is also a  $R - R$ -bimodule. Furthermore, the group  $\mathbf{GL}_n(R)$  has the Gauss linear decomposition for every regular matrix  $\mathbf{gl}_n(R)$ :

$$\mathbf{gl}_n(R) = \delta(R) \xi_R(R) \xi_L(R)$$

where:

- $\delta(R)$  is a diagonal matrix of dimension  $n$ .
- $\xi_R(R)$  is a lower unitriangular matrix.
- $\xi_L(R)$  is an upper unitriangular matrix.

##### 3.1.2 The algebraic bilinear semigroup of matrices $\mathbf{GL}_n(\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_L)$

Taking into account the general existence of semiobjects and bisemiobjects, we have to consider:

a) instead of a ring  $R$ , a **triple**  $(R_L, R_R, R_{R \times L})$  where:

- $R_L$  and  $R_R$  are respectively left and right semirings.
- $R_{R \times L} = R_R \times R_L$  is the associated bisemiring.

b) that  $\mathbf{GL}_n(R)$ , being a  $R - R$ -bimodule, becomes over  $R_R \times R_L$  a  $R_{R \times L}$ -bisemimodule  $\mathbf{GL}_n(R_L \times R_L)$ , introduced in definition 2.3.2.

More concretely let  $\tilde{F}_R$  and  $\tilde{F}_L$  be the right and left algebraic symmetric extensions of a global number field  $k$  of characteristic 0, as introduced in section 1.2. Then, the algebraic group with entries in  $(\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_L)$  is a bilinear algebraic semigroup written according to  $\mathbf{GL}_n(\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_L)$ . Its elements are  $(n \times n)$  square regular matrices forming a  $\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_L$ -bisemimodule under addition.

As in section 3.1.1, the bilinear algebraic semigroup  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_L)$  can be decomposed into the product of the right semigroup  $T_n^t(\tilde{F}_R)$  of lower triangular matrices with entries in  $\tilde{F}_R$  by the left semigroup  $T_n(\tilde{F}_L)$  of upper triangular matrices with entries in  $\tilde{F}_L$  according to

$$\mathrm{GL}_n(\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_L) = T_n^t(\tilde{F}_R) \times T_n(\tilde{F}_L) .$$

$T_n(\tilde{F}_L)$  (resp.  $T_n^t(\tilde{F}_R)$ ) can be viewed as an operator

$$T_n(\tilde{F}_L) : \tilde{F}_L \longrightarrow T^{(n)}(\tilde{F}_L) \equiv V_L \quad (\text{resp. } T_n^t(\tilde{F}_R) : \tilde{F}_R \longrightarrow T^{(n)}(\tilde{F}_R) \equiv V_R)$$

sending the left (resp. right) semifield  $\tilde{F}_L$  (resp.  $\tilde{F}_R$ ) into the left (resp. right)  $T_n(\tilde{F}_L)$ -semimodule  $T^{(n)}(\tilde{F}_L)$  (resp.  $T_n^t(\tilde{F}_R)$ -semimodule  $T^{(n)}(\tilde{F}_R)$ ) which is a left (resp. right) affine semispace  $V_L$  (resp.  $V_R$ ) of dimension  $n$  restricted to the upper (resp. lower) half space.

### 3.1.3 Proposition

The algebraic bilinear semigroup of matrices  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_L)$  can be viewed as an operator:

$$\mathrm{GL}_n(\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_L) : \tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_L \longrightarrow G^{(n)}(\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_L) \equiv V_R \otimes_{\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_L} V_L$$

sending the bisemifield  $\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_L$  into the  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_L)$ -bisemimodule  $G^{(n)}(\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_L)$  which is:

- a) the representation space of the algebraic bilinear semigroup of matrices  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_L)$  ;
- b) a  $n^2$ -dimensional affine bisemispace  $(V_R \otimes_{\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_L} V_L)$  .

**Proof.**

1. The  $n^2$ -dimensional affine bisemispace  $G^{(n)}(\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_L)$  is naturally the tensor product over  $(\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_L)$  of the right  $n$ -dimensional affine semispace  $T^{(n)}(\tilde{F}_R)$  by its left symmetric equivalent  $T^{(n)}(\tilde{F}_L)$  in such a way that its bielements are general algebraic bipoints  $(-a_1 \times_D a_1, \dots, -a_n \times_D a_n)$  which are  $n^2$ -bituples as developed in chapter 1.
2. In fact,  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_L)$  acts into the  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_L)$ -bisemimodule  $G^{(n)}(\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_L)$  on an irreducible  $n^2$ -dimensional affine bisemispace  $P^{(n)}(\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_L)$  considered as the (irreducible) unitary representation space of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_L)$  [Pie1] and as its (bi)center. ■

### 3.1.4 Proposition

The bilinear algebraic semigroup  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_L)$  has the following Gauss bilinear decomposition:

$$\mathrm{GL}_n(\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_L) = [D_n(\tilde{F}_R) \times D_n(\tilde{F}_L)] \times [UT_n^t(\tilde{F}_R) \times UT_n(\tilde{F}_L)]$$

where:

- $D_n(\cdot)$  is the subgroup of diagonal matrices;
- $UT_n(\cdot)$  (resp.  $UT_n^t(\cdot)$ ) is the subgroup of upper (resp. lower) unitriangular matrices.

**Proof.** This follows directly from the decomposition

$$\mathrm{GL}_n(\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_L) = T_n^t(\tilde{F}_R) \times T_n(\tilde{F}_L)$$

of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\tilde{F}_R \times \tilde{F}_L)$  into the product of the semigroup  $T_n^t(\tilde{F}_R)$  of lower triangular matrices by the semigroup  $T_n(\tilde{F}_L)$  of upper triangular matrices.  $\blacksquare$

### 3.1.5 Proposition

Let  $F = F_R \cup F_L$  denote the set of completions associated with the finite algebraic (closed) extension  $\tilde{F} = \tilde{F}_R \cup \tilde{F}_L$  of the number field  $k$ .

Let  $\mathrm{gl}_n(F) = [u_n^t(F) \times u_n(F)] \times d_n(F)$  be the Gauss (linear) decomposition of the matrix  $\mathrm{gl}_n(F)$  of the linear algebraic group  $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$  where  $u_n^t(\cdot) \in UT_n^t(\cdot)$ ,  $u_n(\cdot) \in UT_n(\cdot)$  and  $d_n(\cdot) \in D_n(\cdot)$ . Let  $\mathrm{gl}_n(F_R \times F_L) = [u_n^t(F_R) \times u_n(F_L)] \times [d_n(F_R) \times d_n(F_L)]$  be the Gauss bilinear decomposition of the matrix  $\mathrm{gl}_n(F_R \times F_L)$  of the algebraic bilinear semigroup  $\mathrm{GL}_n(F_R \times F_L)$ .

Then, if we take into account the maps:

- $u_n(F) \rightarrow u_n(F_L)$ ,
- $u_n^t(F) \rightarrow u_n^t(F_R)$ ,
- $d_n(F) \rightarrow d_n(F_R) \times d_n(F_L)$ ,

*the bilinear algebraic semigroup  $\mathrm{GL}_n(F_R \times F_L)$  is in one-to-one correspondence with the linear algebraic group  $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ .*

**Proof.**

1. Let  $F_R = \{F_{\bar{\omega}_1}, \dots, F_{\bar{\omega}_j}, \dots, F_{\bar{\omega}_n}\}$  (resp.  $F_L = \{F_{\omega_1}, \dots, F_{\omega_j}, \dots, F_{\omega_n}\}$ ) denote the set of (pseudo-ramified) completions at all archimedean places  $\bar{\omega}_j$  (resp.  $\omega_j$ ) as described in [Pie1]. Then, to each completion  $F_{\bar{\omega}_j}$  (resp.  $F_{\omega_j}$ ) correspond the conjugacy class representatives  $\mathrm{gl}_n(F_{\bar{\omega}_j})$ ,  $u_n^t(F_{\bar{\omega}_j})$  and  $d_n(F_{\bar{\omega}_j})$  (resp.  $\mathrm{gl}_n(F_{\omega_j})$ ,  $u_n(F_{\omega_j})$  and  $d_n(F_{\omega_j})$ ) of the respective groups of matrices.

Similarly, to each completion  $F_{\bar{\omega}-\omega_j} = F_{\bar{\omega}_j} \cup F_{\omega_j}$  correspond the conjugacy class representatives  $\mathrm{gl}_n(F_{\bar{\omega}-\omega_j})$ ,  $u_n(F_{\bar{\omega}-\omega_j})$ ,  $u_n^t(F_{\bar{\omega}-\omega_j})$  and  $d_n(F_{\bar{\omega}-\omega_j})$  of the respective groups of matrices used in the “linear” case.

Then, it is evident that the bilinear algebraic semigroup  $\mathrm{GL}_n(F_R \times F_L)$  is in one-to-one correspondence with the linear algebraic group  $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$  under the three maps of the proposition.

2. Each bilinear conjugacy class representative subspace  $g^{(n)}(F_{\bar{\omega}-\omega_j})$  of  $\mathrm{gl}_n(F_{\bar{\omega}-\omega_j})$  covers the corresponding linear conjugacy class representative subspace  $g^{(n)}(F_{\bar{\omega}-\omega_j})$  of  $\mathrm{gl}_n(F_{\bar{\omega}-\omega_j})$  if the three maps of the proposition are taken into account.

That is to say there exists the homeomorphism:

$$H_{g_j^{(n)}} : g^{(n)}(F_{\overline{\omega}} \times F_{\omega_j}) \longrightarrow g^{(n)}(F_{\overline{\omega}-\omega_j})$$

in such a way that, if  $g^{(n)}(F_{\overline{\omega}-\omega_j})$  is connected, then **each neighborhood  $U_{\overline{\omega} \times \omega_j}$  of a bipoint of  $g^{(n)}(F_{\overline{\omega}} \times F_{\omega_j})$  is homeomorphic to the corresponding neighborhood  $H_{g_j^{(n)}}(F_{\overline{\omega}} \times F_{\omega_j}) = U_{\overline{\omega}-\omega_j}$  of a point of  $g^{(n)}(F_{\overline{\omega}-\omega_j})$ .**

And thus, **the  $n^2$ -dimensional representation space  $G^{(n)}(F)$  of the linear algebraic group  $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$  coincides with the  $n^2$ -dimensional representation bisemispaces  $G^{(n)}(F_R \times F_L)$  of the bilinear algebraic semigroup  $\mathrm{GL}_n(F_R \times F_L)$ .** ■

## 3.2 Bisemischemes

### 3.2.1 Affine bisemischemes

In analogy with the classical definitions of algebraic geometry [Gro], [G-R], [D-G], [Mum], let us setup the following definitions introducing affine semischemes.

#### a) A left (resp. right) semisheaf $\mathcal{F}_L$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}_R$ )

Let  $X_L$  (resp.  $X_R$ ) be a topological semispace restricted to the upper (resp. lower) half space.

A left (resp. right) presemisheaf  $\mathcal{F}_L$  (resp.  $\mathcal{F}_R$ ) of abelian semigroups consists of:

- $\alpha$ ) for every open left (resp. right) subset  $U_L \subseteq X_L$  (resp.  $U_R \subseteq X_R$ ), an abelian semigroup  $\mathcal{F}_L(U_L)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{F}_R(U_R)$ ).
- $\beta$ ) for every inclusion  $V_L \subseteq U_L$  (resp.  $V_R \subseteq U_R$ ) of open subsets of  $X_L$  (resp.  $X_R$ ), a morphism of abelian semigroups

$$\mathrm{res}_{U_L V_L} : \mathcal{F}_L(U_L) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_L(V_L) \quad (\text{resp. } \mathrm{res}_{U_R V_R} : \mathcal{F}_R(U_R) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_R(V_R)).$$

The left (resp. right) semisheaf  $\mathcal{F}_L$  (resp.  $\mathcal{F}_R$ ) is a left (resp. right) presemisheaf of which sections are determined by local data.

#### b) A left (resp. right) **ringed semispace** $(X_L, \mathcal{F}_L(X_L))$ (resp. $(X_R, \mathcal{F}_R(X_R))$ ) consists of a topological semispace $X_L$ (resp. $X_R$ ) and of a semisheaf of semirings $\mathcal{F}_L$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}_R$ ) on $X_L$ (resp. $X_R$ ).

#### c) Let $A_L$ (resp. $A_R$ ) be the polynomial ring $A$ restricted to the ideal $I_L = \{p_\mu(x_1, \dots, x_n)\}$ (resp. $I_R = \{p_\mu(-x_1, \dots, -x_n)\}$ ).

Then, **the spectrum of  $A_L$  (resp.  $A_R$ )** is the pair  $(\mathrm{spec} A_L, \mathcal{F}_L(A_L))$  (resp.  $(\mathrm{spec} A_R, \mathcal{F}_R(A_R))$ ) consisting of the topological semispace  $\mathrm{spec} A_L$  (resp.  $\mathrm{spec} A_R$ ),

being the set of closed subsets associated with all prime ideals of  $A_L$  (resp.  $A_R$ ), together with the semisheaf  $\mathcal{F}_L(A_L)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{F}_R(A_R)$ ) of semirings on it defined as follows:

Let  $A_{p_L}$  (resp.  $A_{p_R}$ ) be the localization of  $A_L$  (resp.  $A_R$ ) at each prime ideal  $p_L \subseteq A_L$  (resp.  $p_R \subseteq A_R$ ). For an open set  $U_L \subseteq \text{spec } A_L$  (resp.  $U_R \subseteq \text{spec } A_R$ ), we define the set  $\mathcal{F}_L(U_L)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{F}_R(U_R)$ ) of functions  $s_L : U_L \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{p_L \in A_L} A_{p_L}$  (resp.  $s_R : U_R \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{p_R \in A_R} A_{p_R}$ ) such that  $s_L(p_L) \in A_{p_L}$  (resp.  $s_R(p_R) \in A_{p_R}$ ) [Hart].

**An affine left (resp. right) semischeme** is a locally left (resp. right) ringed semispace  $(X_L, \mathcal{F}_L(X_L))$  (resp.  $(X_R, \mathcal{F}_R(X_R))$ ) which is isomorphic to the spectrum  $(\text{spec } A_L, \mathcal{F}_L(A_L))$  (resp.  $(\text{spec } A_R, \mathcal{F}_R(A_R))$ ) of some semiring  $A_L$  (resp.  $A_R$ ).

So, we can introduce **affine bisemischemes** according to the preliminary definitions:

- a) **A bisemisheaf  $\mathcal{F}_{R \times L}(X_{R \times L})$  of bilinear semigroups** is defined by the tensor product  $\mathcal{F}_R(X_R) \otimes_{R_R \times R_L} \mathcal{F}_L(X_L)$  of the right semisheaf  $\mathcal{F}_R(X_R)$  by its left equivalent  $\mathcal{F}_L(X_L)$  if:
  - 1)  $\mathcal{F}_{R \times L}$  is defined on the product  $X_{R \times L} = X_R \times X_L$  of the right topological semispace  $X_R$  by the left topological semispace  $X_L$ , respectively defined on the right and left semirings  $R_R$  and  $R_L$ , in such a way that  $X_L$  (resp.  $X_R$ ) be a  $R_L$ -semimodule (resp.  $R_R$ -semimodule).
  - 2)  $\mathcal{F}_L(X_L)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{F}_R(X_R)$ ) is a  $\mathcal{F}_L(\text{spec } A_L)$ -semimodule (resp.  $\mathcal{F}_R(\text{spec } A_R)$ -semimodule), where  $\text{spec } A_L$  (resp.  $\text{spec } A_R$ ) is associated with  $X_L$  (resp.  $X_R$ ) [Hart], in such a way that  $\mathcal{F}_R(X_R) \otimes_{R_R \times R_L} \mathcal{F}_L(X_L)$  be a  $\mathcal{F}_R(\text{spec } A_R) \times \mathcal{F}_L(\text{spec } A_L)$ -bisemimodule.

Thus,  $\mathcal{F}_R(X_R) \otimes_{R_R \times R_L} \mathcal{F}_L(X_L)$  is a bisemisheaf of bisemimodules over  $\text{spec}(A_R) \times \text{spec}(A_L)$  which allows its splitting into:

$$\mathcal{F}_R(X_R) \otimes \mathcal{F}_L(X_L) = (\mathcal{F}_R(X_R) \otimes_D \mathcal{F}_L(X_L)) \oplus (\mathcal{F}_R(X_R) \otimes_{OD} \mathcal{F}_L(X_L))$$

according to proposition 2.4.3.

- b) **A ringed bisemisphere  $(X_R \times X_L, \mathcal{F}_R(X_R) \otimes \mathcal{F}_L(X_L))$**  is then naturally introduced from the product of the right ringed semispace  $(X_R, \mathcal{F}_R(X_R))$  by its left equivalent  $(X_L, \mathcal{F}_L(X_L))$ .
- c) **The bispectrum of  $A_R \times A_L$**  is introduced by the pair  $(\text{spec } A_R \times \text{spec } A_L, \mathcal{F}_R(A_R) \otimes \mathcal{F}_L(A_L))$  and corresponds to the product of the spectrum  $A_R$  by the spectrum of  $A_L$ .

Finally, **an affine bisemisphere** is a locally ringed bisemisphere  $(X_R \times X_L, \mathcal{F}_R(X_R) \otimes \mathcal{F}_L(X_L))$  which is isomorphic to the spectrum  $(\text{spec } A_R \times \text{spec } A_L, \mathcal{F}_R(A_R) \otimes \mathcal{F}_L(A_L))$  of the bisemiring  $A_R \times A_L$ .

### 3.2.2 Affine bisemisphere over the bilinear algebraic semigroup $G^{(n)}(F_R \times F_L)$

- a) If the topological left (resp. right) semispace is the representation space  $G^{(n)}(F_L) \equiv T^{(n)}(F_L)$  (resp.  $G^{(n)}(F_R) \equiv T^{(n)}(F_R)$ ), over the set of completions  $F_L$  (resp.  $F_R$ ), of the algebraic semigroup of matrices  $T_n(F_L)$  (resp.  $T_n^t(F_R)$ ), then we can introduce **the left (resp. right) semisheaf  $\mathcal{F}_L(G^{(n)}(F_L))$  (resp.  $\mathcal{F}_R(G^{(n)}(F_R))$ )** over  $G^{(n)}(F_L)$  (resp.  $G^{(n)}(F_R)$ ).

And, **the bisemisheaf  $\mathcal{F}_R(G^{(n)}(F_R)) \otimes \mathcal{F}_L(G^{(n)}(F_L))$**  is defined as the tensor product of the right semisheaf  $\mathcal{F}_R(G^{(n)}(F_R))$  by its left equivalent  $\mathcal{F}_L(G^{(n)}(F_L))$ .

- b) **The affine bisemisphere over the bilinear algebraic semigroup  $G^{(n)}(F_R \times F_L)$**  is a locally ringed bisemisphere  $(G^{(n)}(F_R \times F_L), (\mathcal{F}_R(G^{(n)}(F_R)) \otimes \mathcal{F}_L(G^{(n)}(F_L)))$  which is isomorphic to the spectrum  $(\text{spec } A_R \times \text{spec } A_L, \mathcal{F}_R(A_R) \otimes \mathcal{F}_L(A_L))$  of some bisemiring.

### 3.2.3 Functions on the conjugacy classes of $G^{(n)}(F_L)$ (resp. $G^{(n)}(F_R)$ )

Let  $G^{(n)}(F_R \times F_L)$  be the  $n^2$ -dimensional representation bisemisphere of the bilinear algebraic semigroup  $\text{GL}_n(F_R \times F_L)$ .

Let  $\{g^{(n)}(F_{\bar{\omega}_j, m_j} \times F_{\omega_j, m_j})\}_{j, m_j}$  ( $m_j \in \mathbb{N}$  referring to the multiplicity) be the set of its bilinear conjugacy class representative subspaces in such a way that  $\{g^{(n)}(F_{\omega_j, m_j})\}$  (resp.  $\{g^{(n)}(F_{\bar{\omega}_j, m_j})\}$ ) be the set of left (resp. right) linear conjugacy class representative subspaces of  $G^{(n)}(F_L) \equiv T^{(n)}(F_L)$  (resp.  $G^{(n)}(F_R) \equiv T^{(n)}(F_R)$ ).

Then, we consider the set  $\hat{G}^{(n)}(F_L)$  (resp.  $\hat{G}^{(n)}(F_R)$ ) of smooth continuous functions  $\phi_{G_L}^{(n)}(x_{g_L})$  (resp.  $\phi_{G_R}^{(n)}(x_{g_R})$ ),  $x_{g_L} \in G^{(n)}(F_L)$  (resp.  $x_{g_R} \in G^{(n)}(F_R)$ ) on  $G^{(n)}(F_L)$  (resp.  $G^{(n)}(F_R)$ ) in such a way that  $\hat{G}^{(n)}(F_L)$  (resp.  $\hat{G}^{(n)}(F_R)$ ) be partitioned into subsets of functions on the different conjugacy class representatives of  $G^{(n)}(F_L)$  (resp.  $G^{(n)}(F_R)$ ).

### 3.2.4 Proposition

*The set  $\hat{G}^{(n)}(F_R \times F_L) = \{\phi_{G_{jR}}^{(n)}(x_{g_{jR}}) \otimes \phi_{G_{jL}}^{(n)}(x_{g_{jL}})\}_j$  of continuous smooth bifunctions on the bilinear algebraic semigroup  $G^{(n)}(F_R \times F_L)$  is the set of bisections  $\Gamma(\mathcal{F}(G^{(n)}(F_R \times F_L)))$  of the bisemisheaf  $\mathcal{F}(G^{(n)}(F_R \times F_L))$  on  $G^{(n)}(F_R \times F_L)$ .*

**Proof.** On every conjugacy class  $g^{(n)}(F_{\bar{\omega}_j} \times F_{\omega_j})$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq r$ , of  $G^{(n)}(F_R \times F_L)$ , there is a set of smooth continuous bifunctions from  $g^{(n)}(F_{\bar{\omega}_j} \times F_{\omega_j})$  into  $\mathbb{C}$  in such a way that  $\mathcal{F}(g^{(n)}(F_{\bar{\omega}_j} \times F_{\omega_j}))$  is a subbisemisheaf.

And, the set of smooth continuous bifunctions on the set of conjugacy classes of  $G^{(n)}(F_R \times F_L)$  forms a bisemisheaf according to section 3.2.1. ■

### 3.2.5 Corollary

The set  $\widehat{G}^{(n)}(F_R \times F_L)$  of continuous smooth bifunctions on the bilinear algebraic semigroup  $G^{(n)}(F_R \times F_L)$  forms a bisemialgebra in such a way that  $\widehat{G}^{(n)}(F_L)$  (resp.  $\widehat{G}^{(n)}(F_R)$ ) be the left (resp. right) semialgebra on  $G^{(n)}(F_L)$  (resp.  $G^{(n)}(F_R)$ ).

**Proof.** This follows from the definition of bisemialgebras in section 2.5.1. ■

### 3.2.6 The bisemialgebra $L_{R \times L}^{1-1}(G^{(n)}(F_R \times F_L))$

The set of all continuous measurable left (resp. right) functions on  $G^{(n)}(F_L)$  (resp.  $G^{(n)}(F_R)$ ) satisfying:

$$\int_{G^{(n)}(F_L)} \left| \phi_{G_L}^{(n)}(x_{g_L}) \right| dx_{g_L} < \infty \quad (\text{resp.} \quad \int_{G^{(n)}(F_R)} \left| \phi_{G_R}^{(n)}(x_{g_R}) \right| dx_{g_R} < \infty)$$

with respect to a Haar measure on  $G^{(n)}(F_L)$  (resp.  $G^{(n)}(F_R)$ ) is the left (resp. right) semialgebra  $L_L^1(G^{(n)}(F_L))$  (resp.  $L_R^1(G^{(n)}(F_R))$ ).

And, the set of all continuous measurable bifunctions on  $G^{(n)}(F_R \times F_L)$  satisfying:

$$\int_{G^{(n)}(F_R \times F_L)} \left| \phi_{G_R}^{(n)}(x_{g_R}) \otimes \phi_{G_L}^{(n)}(x_{g_L}) \right| dx_{g_R} dx_{g_L} < \infty$$

is the bisemialgebra  $L_{R \times L}^{1-1}(G^{(n)}(F_R \times F_L))$ .

### 3.2.7 Proposition

Let

$$\begin{aligned} p_L : G_R^{(n)}(F_R) &\longrightarrow G_{R(P)}^{(n)}(F_{R(P)}) \\ (\text{resp. } p_R : G_L^{(n)}(F_L) &\longrightarrow G_{L(P)}^{(n)}(F_{L(P)})) \end{aligned}$$

be the linear projective morphism mapping  $G_R^{(n)}(F_R) \equiv G^{(n)}(F_R)$  (resp.  $G_L^{(n)}(F_L) \equiv G^{(n)}(F_L)$ ) onto  $G_{L(P)}^{(n)}(F_L)$  (resp.  $G_{R(P)}^{(n)}(F_R)$ ) according to proposition 2.4.6 and let

$$B_L : G_{R(P)}^{(n)}(F_{R(P)}) \longrightarrow G_{L_R}^{(n)}(F_{L_R}) \quad (\text{resp. } B_R : G_{L(P)}^{(n)}(F_{L(P)}) \longrightarrow G_{R_L}^{(n)}(F_{R_L}))$$

be the bijective linear isometric morphism mapping covariant (resp. contravariant) elements of  $G_{L_R}^{(n)}(F_{R(P)})$  (resp.  $G_{L(P)}^{(n)}(F_{L(P)})$ ) into their respective contravariant (resp. covariant) elements according to proposition 2.4.7.

Then, the composition of morphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} B_L \circ p_L : L_{R \times L}^{1-1}(G^{(n)}(F_R \times F_L)) &\longrightarrow L_{L \times L}^2(G^{(n)}(F_L \times F_L)) \\ (\text{resp. } B_R \circ p_R : L_{R \times L}^{1-1}(G^{(n)}(F_R \times F_L)) &\longrightarrow L_{R \times R}^2(G^{(n)}(F_R \times F_R))) \end{aligned}$$

transforms the bisemialgebra  $L_{R \times L}^{1-1}(G^{(n)}(F_R \times F_L))$  into the bisemialgebra  $L_{L \times L}^2(G^{(n)}(F_L \times F_L))$  (resp.  $L_{R \times R}^2(G^{(n)}(F_R \times F_R))$ ) which is an extended left (resp. right) bilinear Hilbert semispace.

**Proof.**

1. The composition of morphisms  $B_L \circ p_L$  (resp.  $B_R \circ p_R$ ) transforms the continuous bifunctions  $\phi_{G_R}^{(n)}(x_{g_R}) \otimes \phi_{G_L}^{(n)}(x_{g_L}) \in L_{R \times L}^{1-1}(G^{(n)}(F_R \times F_L))$  into the bifunctions  $\phi_{G_L}^{(n)}(x_{g_L}) \otimes \phi_{G_R}^{(n)}(x_{g_R}) \in L_{L \times R}^{1-1}(G^{(n)}(F_L \times F_R))$  (resp.  $\phi_{G_R}^{(n)}(x_{g_R}) \otimes \phi_{G_R}^{(n)}(x_{g_R}) \in L_{R \times R}^{1-1}(G^{(n)}(F_R \times F_R))$ ) satisfying

$$\int_{G^{(n)}(F_L \times F_L)} \left| \phi_{G_L}^{(n)}(x_{g_L}) \right|^2 dx_{g_L} < \infty \quad (\text{resp.} \quad \int_{G^{(n)}(F_R \times F_R)} \left| \phi_{G_R}^{(n)}(x_{g_R}) \right|^2 dx_{g_R} < \infty)$$

according to propositions 2.4.6 and 2.4.7.

$L_{L \times L}^2(G^{(n)}(F_L \times F_L))$  (resp.  $L_{R \times R}^2(G^{(n)}(F_R \times F_R))$ ) is the (semi)space of square integrable continuous functions on  $G^{(n)}(F_L \times F_L)$  (resp.  $G^{(n)}(F_R \times F_R)$ ) restricted to the upper (resp. lower) half space.

2. It is evident from proposition 2.4.9 that  $L_{L \times L}^2(G^{(n)}(F_L \times F_L))$  (resp.  $L_{R \times R}^2(G^{(n)}(F_R \times F_R))$ ) is an extended left (resp. right) bilinear Hilbert semispace.  $\blacksquare$

### 3.2.8 Bisemimotives

Let us remark that, in the philosophy of bisemistruktures, the Chow pure motives, recalled in section 1.2, become Chow pure bisemimotives consisting of pairs  $(X_R \times X_L, \text{CH}^{2n}(X_R \times X_L))$  where:

- $X_R \times X_L$  is the product of an  $n$ -dimensional smooth projective right semivariety by its corresponding left equivalent;
- $\text{CH}^{2n}(X_R \times X_L) \simeq \frac{Z^i(X_R \times X_L)}{Z_{\text{rat}}^i(X_R \times X_L)}$ .

In this new context of bilinearity, the motives thus acquire their letters patent of nobility, as hoped by A. Grothendieck.

## References

- [B-L] BIRKHOFF, G., MACLANE, S.: Algèbre. T.I. Gauthiers-Villars (1971).
- [Bou] BOURBAKI, N.: Éléments de mathématique, Algèbre. Hermann (1948).
- [B-R] BRATTELI, O., ROBINSON, D.: Operator algebras and quantum statistical mechanics. Springer (1979).
- [B-T] BOREL, A., TITS, J.: Groupes réductifs. *Publ. Math. IHES.*, **27** (1965), 54–151.
- [Cae] CAENEPEEL, S.: Brauer groups, Hopf algebras and Galois theory. Kluwer (1998).
- [Car] CARTIER, P.: An introduction to quantum groups. *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.*, **56** (1994), 19–42.
- [C-H] COURANT, R., HILBERT, D.: Methods of mathematical physics. Vols. I & II. Interscience., N.Y. (1953).
- [D-G] DIEUDONNÉ, J.A., GROTHENDIECK, A.: Éléments de géométrie algébrique. Springer (1971).
- [Dix] DIXMIER, J.: Les  $C^*$ -algèbres et leurs représentations. Gauthiers-Villars (1969).
- [F-D] FARB, B., DENNIS, K.: Non commutative algebra. *Grad. Texts in Math.*, **144**, Springer (1993).
- [God] GODEMENT, R.: Analyse mathématique. Springer (1998).
- [Gro] GROTHENDIECK, A.: Standard conjectures on algebraic cycles in algebraic geometry. Oxford Univ. Press., Bombay (1969), 193–199.
- [Gre] GREUB, W.: Multilinear algebra. *Grad. Texts in Math.*, **23**, Springer (1978).
- [G-R] GRAUERT, H., REMMERT, R.: Coherent analytic sheaves. *Ser. of Compreh. Stud. in Math.*, **265**, Springer (1984).
- [G-S] GELFAND, I., SHILOV, G.: Generalized functions, Vols. 1, 2 & 3. Academic Press (1964–67).
- [G-I] GROTHENDIECK, A., ILLUSIE, L.: Formule de Lefschetz. *Lect. Not. Math.*, **589** (SGA5), Springer (1977).
- [Hart] HARTSHORNE, R.: Algebraic geometry. *Grad. Texts in Math.*, **52**, Springer (1977).
- [Hun] HUNGERFORD, T.: Algebra. *Grad. Texts in Math.*, **73**, Springer (1974).

- [J-N] JORDAN, P., VON NEUMANN, J.: On inner products in linear metric spaces. *Annals of Math.*, **36**, (1935), 719–723.
- [M-M] MILNOR, J., MOORE, J.: On the structure of Hopf algebras. *Annals of Math.*, **81**, (1965), 211–264.
- [Mum] MUMFORD, D.: The red book of varieties and schemes. *Lect. Not. Math.*, **1358**, Springer (1988).
- [Mur] MURRE, J.P.: Algebraic cycles and algebraic aspects of cohomology and  $K$ -theory. *Lect. Not. Math.*, **1594**, Springer (1994), 93–152
- [Pie1] PIERRE, C.:  $n$ -dimensional global correspondences of Langlands. *ArXiv Math.*, RT/0510348 (2005).
- [Pie2] PIERRE, C.: Algebraic quantum theory. Preprint *ArXiv math-ph/0404024* (2004).
- [R-N] RIEZ, F., NAGY, B.: Leçons d’analyse fonctionnelle. Akad. Kiado, Budapest (1953).
- [Sch1] SCHWARTZ, L.: Les tenseurs. Hermann (1975).
- [Sch2] SCHWARTZ, L.: Analyse Hilbertienne. Hermann (1979).
- [Sch3] SCHWARTZ, L.: Analyse, topologie générale et analyse fonctionnelle. Hermann (1979).
- [Wei] WEIDMANN, J.: Linear operator in Hilbert spaces. *Grad. Texts in Math.*, **68**, Springer (1980).